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INTRODUCTION—SIMULATION SYSTEMS

To see a world in a grain of sand
And a heaven in a wild flower,
Hold infinity in the palm of your hand
And eternity in an hour.
—William Blake

This article is concerned with the problems of digital simulation and describes methods used in the Digital Control Design System (DCDS) for the simulation of digital structures. The paper is divided into five parts:

1. A short introduction to DCDS, its structure and purposes.
2. A discussion of simulation techniques, entities and attributes.
3. The DCDS pseudo machine simulator.
4. The pseudo machine program.
5. A simple example of a DCDSL program.

DCDS, its structure and purposes

The Digital Control Design System (DCDS) was developed at the University of California at Los Angeles to aid in the design and architecture of computer systems. The design system operates under the following assumptions:

1. A set of basic building blocks whose properties are known is available.
2. An instruction set or task assignment for the computer system is defined along with cost and performance constraints.
3. Using his experience and intuition, the designer generates an ensemble of modules. These modules form the system’s building blocks which the designer believes will perform the stated functions effectively.

Given the above (1—3), the digital system must be describable to a design aid system. The designer then needs a language, its translator, and an operating system with the following properties:

4. The set of functions to be performed can be described.
5. The building blocks, their interconnection, and their place and function within the ensemble can be described.
6. A computer program can generate a fabrication description of control modules capable of going through a sequence of states necessary to have the system perform the above functions. The designer may specify synchronous or asynchronous control systems.
7. A simulator can accept the descriptions in (4) and (5), and the sequence description generated in (6), and produce measures of accuracy and performance.
8. If the performance of the ensemble is “good”, the description of the computer system is in such form that it may be fed into a more de-
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tailed design process. If not, the designer may alter his architecture.

To satisfy the above needs, Digital Control Design Language (DCDL) has been implemented as part of design automation research being conducted at the University of California at Los Angeles.\(^5\)\(^6\) A compiler for DCDL has been implemented for the SSD SIGMA 7 using a META 5 compiler writing system.\(^5\)\(^7\) The DCDL compiler is currently also being implemented for the IBM/360.

The DCDL system illustrated in Figure 1 contains two compiler processors written in META 5, a pseudo machine (which is the subject of this paper) written in FORTRAN IV and the machine language, and two control implementation modules written in FORTRAN IV. The input processor is a DCDL syntactic analyzer; (1) this program translates the digital system description (example in Part IV) into an interpretive code used by the pseudo-machine for simulation of the described hardware. The second META 5 processor (2) produces a numerical code which is then transformed into a binary control program and a fabrication description of a control subsystem for the computer system being designed. The implementation specifications for the wiring of the control matrices are produced by the two FORTRAN IV programs (3,4). Control modules implied by microprograms have their wiring lists automatically generated by the Control Matrix Processors, in DCDS. The hardware construction of the control processor is then effected by using a set of one or more similar building blocks (Control Matrix Building Blocks), according to wiring specifications given by DCDS.

The software module described in Part III is a pseudo machine (5) in charge of executing simulation runs. The pseudo machine is composed of a combination of FORTRAN IV and machine language subroutines. The simulation runs are designed to check test cases in order to assess the validity of a described design as well as to calculate its estimated execution time.

DCDS is designed to analyze asynchronous as well as clocked systems, with the former posing a special problem: dynamic reevaluation of variables. Any time a logical variable is changed, the system must, as a consequence of this change, reevaluate any other variable which is a function of the changed variable. This process must continue until no further "consequential changes" occur.

DCDS's capability to dynamically reevaluate variables allows the designer to describe his system using the same logical equations and timing relations which he uses to implement it. Programming in a form (see Part IV) which is highly related to the actual hardware provides for a system directly used by the designer eliminating the programmer as a "middle-man". This direct correspondence also makes the DCDL program an up-to-date documentation of the system designed. The syntax analyzer accepts a description which images the hardware and translates this description into simulation code. Thus the designer is freed from the tedious job of programming the structure of the model required—a process sometimes more time-consuming than building a hardware prototype and testing it on the bench.

The Digital Control Design Language (DCDL) is built as a cluster of three main sublanguages: a language intended for expressing Boolean equations and time relations; a microprogramming language; and an algorithmic language. DCDL uses FORTRAN as the algorithmic sublanguage. The user may choose any one of the three sublanguages to describe any of the parts or modules in the described design. The logical and microprogramming sublanguages use the same declarations and access the same variables by their names. The execution statements of sublanguages and their syntactic formats differ and one cannot combine statements of different sublanguages. Thus DCDS provides the user with a powerful means of expression, since he can select the most convenient and expressive form from among the three sublanguages to describe a hardware module.

**Entities and attributes in simulation systems**

For our observations herein, we consider the simula-
tion of a system to be the modeling and associated measurement of a system by a STRUCTURE in which EVENTS occur in TIME according to a set of RULES. Thus there are four sets of basic elements which must be dealt with in simulation:

**STRUCTURES, EVENTS, TIMES, and RULES**

Different simulation methods neglect one or more of these sets (e.g., time independent models). Any one of the four sets may be selected as primary entities and the others treated as attributes of that set.

One may choose to consider an analytic closed form solution to be a simulation of a real system. In this case, the process of simulation becomes a transformation. Assume for example the transfer equation for an electronic circuit. Both internal events (voltages and currents in the individual elements) and structure (topology of the circuit) may be neglected and one manipulates the set of rules (i.e. Kirchoff's law and Ohm's equations) to produce a transfer function which gives the output events as a function of time and input events.

Thus whenever the rules are considered to be the main entities, then either an analytic transformation or an algorithmic procedure is used for simulation. The type and form of the information transferred into the simulation system as well as the simulation systems themselves vary from one another depending upon which of the four sets was chosen as the main set of entities. Due to these differences, different languages or input rules are used to describe the simulated system to the software package designed to perform the simulation.

The following examples of different programming structures will serve to illustrate the previous discussion.

**Main Entities—EVENTS**

**Examples of programming structures:**

SIMULA [8], GASP [9], SIMSCRIPT [10], [11], [12], [13], GPSS [14].

A simulated system is described by an event flow chart. The programming systems above use input language formats suitable for the description of events in such a form.

**Main Entities—RULES**

**Examples:**

NASAP [15], LISA [16], Boolean Analyzer [17].

The input to circuit analysis programs like NASAP and LISA or to the Boolean Analyzer is in table-form which either explicitly gives the set of rules (Boolean equations) or gives a table that implies a unique set of rules (Kirchoff's and Ohm's equations for the circuit).

**Main Entities—STRUCTURES**

**Examples:**

LOGIK [18], Weather Simulation Program [19].

Partial Differential Equation Simulation [20].

The input format is any form suitable for describing the physical or hierarchical structure of the simulated system.

**Modeling and approximations**

After the selection of the entity and attribute relations, the next step for simulating a system is to decide what can be approximated and how the selected approximations can be done. The choice of what to approximate can be categorized as:

a. making certain entities (inputs) constants; for example \( t = 0 \) in time independent modeling.

b. neglecting parts of the attributes; for example in simulation of partial differential equations by Monte Carlo methods, the field constants are calculated for only a small number of selected field points in the structure.

c. modifying the set of rules; the use of difference equations to solve partial differential equation problems is an example of modifying the rules. For a different example of rule modification, consider a simulation program simulating another program on a digital system. The purpose of the simulated program is to execute a matrix inversion in which the inversion is performed on a \( 2 \times 2 \) part of the matrix instead of the entire \( n \times n \) array. In this case, the system rules may be modified to obtain fast simulation time for a simulation that "takes the system through the motions" without obtaining the actual numerical result. Thus for such approximations, one may simulate the system faster than real run time.

Event directed simulation can be expected to be faster than structural simulation since structure simulation has to go through all possible events in the system, while event simulation takes the system only through
the prescribed events. This is, of course, also the main pitfall of event simulation; it does not point out events that might occur in the system but are unforeseen by the programmer.

**DCDS pseudo machine simulator**

A computer module in DCDL may be described by its structure (LOGIC), by the set of events that it controls (PROGRAM), or by the algorithmic rules (SIMULATE). In order to perform this task, the DCDS pseudo machine simulator operates as an algorithmic simulator by calling on the FORTRAN programs; as a structure simulator when simulating a logical structure (operating from the Call Stack); or as an event simulator when processing a microprogram. The Program Stack (see Figure 2) operates the sequence of events generated by the control microprogram. The Call Stack operates all the logical details occurring in the logical structures forced by the control events.

The DCDL event simulation is limited to operations within a logical structure. The events that are generated by the control as time moves forward, forces the simulator to follow all consequences of the events within the described logical structure. For example, the event simulator may directly order (by executing an instruction in the program stack) transfer of data to register A. All the other consequences of this action (i.e., all the outputs of gates whose input is A) are simulated from the Call Stack (structure simulation).

**The pseudo machine program**

A pseudo machine processor is a program written in machine language or higher level language for the machine on which one performs the simulation runs. In the present implementation on the SIGMA 7 this program is written using FORTRAN and assembly language. The process in which the translation is separated from the simulation allows one to write the translator program independently of the machine in use. The separation of the compiler program and the pseudo machine program allows independent debugging and changes in each. Modifications in DCDL and its compiler are done by changing the META 5 compiler program. FORTRAN changes in the pseudo machine provide for changes in simulation methods as well as insertion by the designer of other features expressed in FORTRAN to capture event information relevant to one design or another.

Thus, by the process of programming in DCDL and by translation one obtains:

A. Documentation of the design;
B. A check on the consistency and completeness of all logical variables and all logical functions;
C. Automatic implementation of control sections;
D. Simulation runs for given sets of input data; and
E. The amount of time a certain run will take on the described design.

Following is a discussion specifying the pseudo machine structure and operation codes.

**Instructions, interpretation, addressing, and indexing**

This unit contains the following parts (see Figure 2).

(a) Time counter and time registers.

The counter counts simulated execution time. The time registers are used to store time counts of different parallel branches. At a parallel junction, comparison between duration of operation on each branch is made and the highest time count will be the new value of the simulation time counter.

(b) Indexing arithmetic unit.

This unit is capable of fixed point operation...
(plus, minus, multiplication, and division) and is used for indexing arithmetic.

(c) Call-stack and Program-stack.

Two push down (LIFO) stacks. One of the elements in the stacks is the operative address; i.e., the address of the instruction to be executed next. The operative address is usually the word at the top of the call-stack. If the call-stack is empty, the operative address is the word at the top of the program-stack.

A control branch to a lower (subordinate) control level (CALL) is instrumented by putting the first address of the lower control level program into the call stack, thus making the call address the operative address. When the lower control level is of type PROGRAM, the address is put in the program stack. The operative address is incremented by 1 after an instruction is executed or the address is replaced by another due to the execution of a branch (a normal branch that occurs within the program being executed).

An exit or return from the subordinate program will cause the stack to pop while a further entry into another subordinate program brings a new address into the call stack. The consequential calls are put into the call stack but their execution is delayed until all the parallel operations have been carried out and then all consequential calls are carried out. Two key words in DCDL indicate parallel structures. *GROUP indicates a set of similar modules operating in parallel and controlled by the same binary control variable (for example, a set of 32 single bit adder modules in a 32 bit binary adder). *PART indicates a set of dissimilar modules operating in parallel under the control of a single binary control variable (for example, shifter and counter in floating point normalization). A *PART may contain simple and nested *GROUPS in which case the whole structure is operating simultaneously under the supervision of a single control variable. The stacks have three points. TOPC (top of the call stack), TOPP (top of the program stack) and OPR (the operative address.)

Consequential calls are intended for the dynamic reevaluation of variables. The STORE instruction invoking the consequential calls puts new addresses of variable reevaluation routines into the Call Stack. This is accomplished according to the following steps:

1. The old and the new value of the variable are compared.
2. The new variable value is stored.
3. If the comparison mentioned above shows a difference between the old and new value, the address of the subroutine that calculated the new value of the dynamically dependent variable is put into the Call Stack.
4. The address of the next instruction is the address on the top of the Call Stack. Thus, if there were any consequential calls, they would be executed prior to the completion of the execution of the subroutine that invoked those consequential calls.

When there are no more changes in the values of the variables, the instructions proceed to the end of the reevaluation routine, which contains RETURN as the last instruction. The RETURN instruction pops the Call Stack sending the program to finish operations in the routine which invoked the consequential calls.

The process of dynamic reevaluation will stop only if the variable values and the logical functions are consistent. Assume the following statements:

\[ A = \land (B,C); \]
\[ D = \lor (A,E); \]
\[ B = \neg D; \]

with initial conditions \( A = 0, B = 1, C = 0, D = 0, E = 0. \) This set of relations and values is consistent. Now consider that the variable \( C \) is changed to one. The new set of variables and relations is inconsistent and the reevaluation of variables will not reach a steady state. Each reevaluation will put a new address in the call-stack.

A change in operation occurs once an address is put into location \( n \) in the stack. The pseudo machine prints an error message which is followed by the names and values of variables partaking in a STORE instruction. This process continues allowing the program to put addresses in the next ten slots of the call stack. When the execution calls for storing an address at \( n+11 \) the call stack is cleared (TOPC = 0) and the operative address is taken as the instruction on top of the program stack. This debug feature allows the
program to check for logical inconsistencies without getting into an infinite loop or having to stop simulation runs.

5. Delay table. The result of a logical transformation specified in DCDL can be effected directly or after a specified time, for example in the statement

\[ A = '\text{DELAY}(3)' \& (C, D, E): \text{OP1}; \]

the transformation \&(C, D, E) is performed if control variable OP1 is activated, but the content of A will be changed only three time units later.

To facilitate translation of the delay modifier, the pseudo machine contains a delay table. An entry into the delay table contains three parts: variable name, variable's new value, time of exit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Variable value</th>
<th>Exit time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Each time the time counter is incremented, all time of exit entries into the delay table are checked, and the entries with a time of exit matching the time counter activates a store operation storing the new value in the appropriate variable, invoking consequential calls if such are present.

**Logical manipulating accumulators**

The pseudo machine contains two string accumulators, A and B. The machine performs the operations of AND, OR and EQUAL between the respective bits of the string accumulators and the result is stored in string accumulator A. The current size of both string accumulators is given by the content of String Accumulator Size Register (SASR).

All operations are performed on words of the same size. Calling an operand of the wrong size causes an error message printout and the machine goes to the next instruction. An exception to this occurs when the operand is of size one bit. In this case, the one bit is extended to a word that contains all zeros or all ones of the size indicated by the SASR. A special instruction sets the size of the string accumulator (i.e., the content of SASR) thus setting the size of all following logical operations.

**Data Blocks**

Data blocks have different lengths and contain binary arrays. A binary array can possess up to three dimensions. Only a single bit or a binary word string can be addressed in the blocks. Each data block contains a two word header containing the variable name followed by the structure described below.

**Storage for a Single Bit**

The storage block for a single bit is one word (four bytes) plus a word for each consequential call. A consequential call occurs when a variable A is a dynamic function of a variable B. B forms the input to the gate, the output of which is A. When B is changed, a consequential call causes the pseudo-machine to reevaluate the variable A. Thus, the storage location of variable E contains the addresses of sets of instructions which will reevaluate all variables which are dynamically dependent on the variable B.

The single bit storage words format

```
| 1 | 2 | /3/ | 4 |
```

- **Byte 1**: number of consequential calls invoked by a change in the stored binary variable.
- **Byte 2**: this byte contains indicators for high bit position, number of dimensions of the logical variable, and variable type. Each indicator occupies two bits.
variable dimension
00: bit variable
01: one dimension array (word)
10: two dimensional variable
11: three dimensional variable

variable type
00: logical point, the variable does not contain memory
01: 1 level storage, declared as *RS
10: 2 level storage (clocked)

position of the high order bit
00: the high order bit is the most significant bit (leftmost bit)
01: the high order bit is the least significant bit (rightmost bit)

Byte 3: not used
Byte 4: variable value

The following words (if any) contain the consequential call address in byte 3 & 4 and its directive in byte 1.

Byte 1: consequential call type (directives)

011: calls on any change in the variable
001: consequential call, only if the variable changes from 0 to 1
010: consequential call, on the change of the variable from 1 to 0
1xx: consequential call of an entry to a PROGRAM, put a new address on top of program stack (operation on the last 2 bits same as above).

One dimension array storage
In a one dimensional binary array storage, the first word contains the range and type of the stored variable. The following words contain the binary variable and then the consequential calls (if any).

Two dimensional binary storage
A two dimensional arrangement contains at least 3 words. The first 2 words are used for bookkeeping in the same format as the 1 dimensional arrangement, with byte 5 indicating the lowest value of the second subscript, and byte 6 indicating the range of the second subscript.
Three dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cc directive cc address

In a three dimensional arrangement, byte 7 indicates the lowest value of the third variable and byte 8 indicates the range.

Arithmetic variable storage

The third entity stored in pseudo memory is a block of 256 arithmetic variables used for indexing and address manipulations.

Temporary logical variables

The memory contains a block of 256 one dimensional logical temporary variables, each one 128 bits long.

Pseudo machine instruction set

Most of the pseudo machine instructions closely resemble general purpose computer instruction lists. The main exception is that the addresses of logical variables contain the variable address as well as bit and word indices.

In the following paragraphs we will discuss specific instructions which are unique to the DCDL pseudo machine and will give the reader more insight into DCDS simulating programs.

A pseudo machine logic instruction is contained in a 64 bit word (eight bytes).

As implemented on the SDS Sigma 7, the most common format of the pseudo-machine logic instruction code contains

a. operation code (one byte)
b. operation code modifiers (one byte)
c. operand address (two bytes)
d. three address subscripts and a set of subscript tags.

The actual operand address is a function of the main address (i.e., array address), the three subscripts, and the subscript tags. The main address corresponding to the name of the data block (i.e., the name of the variable). The subscript tags indicate whether the subscripts are to be used directly, indirectly, or by word size.

Each index byte has a two bit tag. The interpretation of the tag is:

- If the tag is 00, this subscript is not currently effective. For example, in A(1, 3), A is a two dimensional array and the third index is not used.
- If the tag is 01: The subscript is indicated directly by the numerical content of the corresponding subscript byte.
- If the tag is 10: The subscript is given directly; i.e., the corresponding number is the location of an indexing word in memory.
- If the tag is 11: It is used for word variables and the word is the entire range of this subscript.

The following section contains pseudo machine instruction examples from the set of pseudo machine instructions.

Store with invoked consequential calls

STDC a): a ← A, Call Stack ← consq (a)

If there is a difference between (a) and A, all the consequential call addresses associated with (a) are put into the call stack. To avoid redundant operation, a duplication of the address already inside the call stack will not be inserted; i.e., when two or more successive operations request the same consequential call this mechanism sets the operation such that the call will be executed only once. When the receiving variable (n) is a clocked element (two storage levels) both levels change to match the content of A.

Store in secondary level

SSEC (a): (a1) ← A

Stores into first level of a clocked storage element (a clocked element has two storage levels). This instruction does not initiate consequential calls.

Secondary to primary storage level transfer, entire array

TRANS (a): (a2) ← (a1)
Transfers the data from secondary to primary level in clocked memory elements. This instruction initiates consequential calls if consequential call addresses are present and the content of primary and secondary differ.

**Secondary to primary transfer, only designated bit(s)**

**BTRANS (a):** \((a_2) \leftarrow (a_1)\)

Instruction execution same as above except transfer is performed only on bit(s) designated by the instruction. Note: consequential calls are not associated with single bits; a change in a variable invokes all consequential calls for the array.

**Delayed storage**

**DELAY (a), i:** DELAY TABLE \(\leftarrow a, i, A\)

\(i\), the delay count, is put in the second byte of the eight byte instruction (as a modifier). Delayed storage invokes consequential calls when they are associated with the stored variable. The consequential calls as well as storage will be activated after \(i\) time units.

**Instruction format**

\[ '7 C'- '-'- '-'- '-'- '-'- '
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 \]

Byte 2: delay count
Byte 3-8: logical variable address

**Delayed secondary to primary transfer**

**CKDLY (a), i:** DELAY TABLE \(\leftarrow a, i\)

This instruction stores the address and time count in the Delay Table. The variable value does not have to be stored in the Delay Table since it is stored in the secondary register of the variable.

**PART entry point**

**PARTIN:**

changes the GROUP flag to 1. As long as the GROUP flag is not equal to zero (GROUP \(\neq 0\)) the operative address does not change due to the placement of an address in the call stack.

**PART exit point**

**PARTOUT:**

Turns the GROUP flag to "0" thereby releasing the consequential calls mechanism. Thus, if consequential calls have been involved, within PART this instruction causes the effective address to be the top of the stack and execution of consequential calls to begin.

**GROUP entry point**

**GRUPIN, K1, XR:**

Loads the value K1 into the arithmetic variable serving as index register (XR). Increments the GROUP flag by one (GROUP = GROUP + 1).

**Format**

\[ 'E 2'X X'X X'- '-X X'X X'- '- '- '
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 \]

Byte 4: arithmetic variable serving as index register (XR).

7&8: number (K1) loaded into the index register (XR).

**GROUP exit point**

**GROUP, K2, i, n, XR:**

(1) Compares K2 with the value stored in the appropriate index register (XR).

If the values are equal:

Decrements the GROUP flag (GROUP = GROUP - 1) and proceeds with the execution of next instruction. Note that if GROUP flag is decremented to zero (GROUP = 0) the stack pointer is moved to the highest occupied position POINT-TOP and stored consequential calls are executed.

If the values are not equal:

The index register variable XR is changed by 1 or by -1.

The operative address (next instruction address) is changed to the value n.

\[ 'E 3'- '-X X'- '-'- '-'- '-'- '
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 \]

Byte 2: (i) Incrementing or decrementation value (1 or -1)

4 (XR) Address of index register

5&6: (n) Label of the instruction at the top of this *GROUP loop

7&8: (K2) upper limit of index register.
The operative address cannot change as long as execution is within a *PART or *GROUP (GROUP ≠ 0). The consequential calls will be stored in the call stack and evaluated once the program exists all the nesting of *GROUP and *PART.

**Unconditional branch**

GOTO n;
Unconditional branch to n: the value n replaces the operative address.

**Conditional branch**

GOTC (k)n:
Branch is taken if the logical accumulator A = 0 and k = 0 or A ≠ 0 and k = 1. When the branch is taken, n replaces the operative address in the CALL or PROGRAM Stack.

**Call**

CALL n:
Control transfer. The label n is put on top of the call stack making it the new current operative address.

**Return from a substructure**

RETURN:
The instruction causes the call stack to pop making the next label in the stack the operative address.

**Call microprogram controller**

CALP (n):
puts (n) on top of the program stack

**Return from a microprogram**

RETRNP:
Pop the program stack

**Check bit**

CHECK (a)
The instruction contains a bit indicator (byte 2). The bit indicator is compared with a bit in memory addressed by bytes three-eight. If the bits are the same, the result is no operation; if the bits are different, the instruction executes a RETURN.

**Count time**

TIME, n: (Timer) ← (Timer) + n, Evaluate delay table.
Counts n time units; note that with each count the delay table will be reevaluated and the instruction will activate delayed storage.

**Store timer**

TIMS (n): (n) ← (Timer)
Stores the content of the timer in n

**Return to time count routine**

TRET:
This instruction pops the call stack then returns control to the timer control subroutine.

**Bring timer**

TIMI (n): (Timer) ← (n)
Sets the timer according to the value stored in n.

**Set timer**

TIMO n, m, k: (Timer) ← n, (timer subroutine) ← m, k.
The instruction contains a new initial value for the timer.

**Gather point for parallel branches in a microprogram**

GATHER (b), j, k:
This instruction appears at the gather point of parallel operation. The instruction contains two numbers, j and k, each stored in a two byte location and used for parallel branch count. k contains the total number of parallel branches coming in to the gather point; j contains the number of branches not yet executed. The arithmetic variable b is used to store the maximum operation time on the parallel branches.

operation: if j ≠ 0
a. j ← j - 1
b. (b) ← MAX ((b), (timer))
c. Pop the call stack
    if j = 0
a. j ← k
b. (timer) ← MAX ((b), (timer))
c. \( (b) \leftarrow 0 \)
d. go to next instruction (past parallel gather)

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
D & 4' & X & X' & X' & - & - & - \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{array}
\]

Byte 4: Arithmetic variable storing time count
5&6: value of k, total number of parallel paths
7&8: value of j, number of parallel paths to be executed

**Logical to numerical variable transfer, first word**

SINI \((n), (v): B \leftarrow (v), (n) \leftarrow B(0-31)\)

The content of the logical variable \(v\) is loaded into \(B\) accumulator. When the rightmost bits of \(B(0-31)\) are loaded into the arithmetic variable \(n\). This arithmetic variable is to be transferred into the simulated section. If the size of \(B\) is less than 32, zeros will be put into the leftmost bits of the word.

**Logical to numerical variable transfer, additional words**

SIN2 \((n), k: n \leftarrow B(32*k to 31+32*k)\)

This instruction must follow SIN1 or another SIN2 instruction. The instruction transfers the \(k\)th word from \(B\) to the arithmetic variable \(n\) to be transferred into the simulation section.

**Numerical to logical variable transfer, first word**

SOUT1 \((n), (v): B \leftarrow n, (v) \leftarrow B, B \leftarrow 0\)

This instruction transfers the bits of an arithmetic word \(n\) into the rightmost 32 bits of \(B\), then stores the content of \(B\) in \(v\), and then resets \(B\) (the instruction may invoke consequential calls if they are associated with \(v\)). Byte 2 contains the arithmetic variable address.

**Numerical to logical variable transfer, additional words**

SOUT2 \((n), k: B(32*k to 31+32*k) \leftarrow n\).

Loads the content of \((n)\) into the \(k\)th word of \(B\). This instruction must be followed by SOUT1 or another SOUT2.

**Call simulation section**

CALSIM, \(n: B \leftarrow 0\), CALL simulation section.

Resets \(B\), then activates the FORTRAN or machine language simulation section. \(n\) is the number of the subroutine called.

**Error trap**

TRAP:

This instruction must follow a conditional branch. The execution of the instruction consists of printing an error message and then following the branch of the previous instruction, even though the branch conditions were NOT satisfied.

**The logic design of a serial adder**

Figure 3 gives the block diagram of a design specification for a serial adder. The adder contains two clocked shift registers, \(A\) and \(B\), containing 16 bits each. Other parts of the adder are a four bit counter COUNT, a carry flip flop \(C\), a single bit sum and carry logic, the adder controller AUC, and a PANEL section.

The sum of \(A\) and \(B\) generated by the adder replaces the content of \(B\). \(A\) is connected to perform a cyclic shift such that at the conclusion of the addition it...
contains its initial value. The sum bit generated at each cycle is stored in position B(16).

**Design Example, Serial Adder**

Figure 4 contains a DCDL program specifying the serial adder. The program starts by declaring a UNIT named ADDER at control level #1. The declaration section starting with the key word *DECLARE specifies that the UNIT ADDER receives three control signals (ORDERs) from its supervisor(s). The ORDERs are \( \langle A + B \rangle, \) CNT and RESET. The functions controlled by these ORDERs will be specified later in the LOGIC part of this UNIT.

Other parts declared in this DECLARE section are the 16 bit register A, the 16 bit register B, and the flip flop C. A, B, and C are composed of clocked RS flip flops (type *CRS). The next declaration is a four serial adder. The program starts by declaring a UNIT VARIABLE CNT, RESET.

The declaration section ends with the key word *END. The logical and control relations in the ADDER UNIT are specified in the LOGIC section which starts with the key word *LOGIC. The LOGIC section contains three PART sections and one direct transfer statement.

The first PART section is controlled by the ORDER_VARIABLE CNT. This section contains the input statements to the four COUNT flip flops. The statements specify that the input to COUNT (1) is a "ONE" ('X1' specifies a one in a hexadecimal format). The input to COUNT (2) is the output of COUNT (1). Similarly the input to COUNT (3) is the AND of (COUNT (1), COUNT (2)) and the input to COUNT (4) is the AND of (COUNT (1), COUNT (2), COUNT (3)).

The first PART section is controlled by CNT clocked transfers (\( \% = \)) which are associated with the clocked input of the registers' flip flops. The next PART section controlled by the ORDER RESET specifies a direct connection (\( = \)) into the clocked variables C and COUNT. Therefore, the PART controlled by CNT changes the clocked input of the COUNT register. The PART controlled by RESET changes the content of COUNT and C using direct set (DC set) and direct reset (DC reset).

The last PART section is controlled by the ORDER_VARIABLE \( \langle A + B \rangle \). Activated by the \( \langle A + B \rangle \) control variable are the following transformations:

- The content of A is shifted a cyclic shift by one to the right, the result is stored in A(*) ;
- B(16) receives the sum function of A(1), B(1), and C;
- The GROUP of bits B(1) to B(15) are shifted by one to the right;
- The carry flip flop C receives the carry which is a function of A(1), B(1) and C.

Note the PARTs containing a clocked transfer refer to double rank clocked elements. Whenever the controlling variable is activated, the specified function (to the right of \( \% = \) ) is stored in the secondary rank of the variable to the left of \( \% = \). In the succeeding time unit, a primary secondary transfer is activated.

The last statement in LOGIC is a dynamic specification of the variable TEST as an AND function of the bits of COUNT.

The next UNIT to be specified is the adder controller, AUC. AUC introduces two new variables in its declaration section: an ORDER ADD which it receives from its supervisors, and a reply FIN which it sends back to the supervisors.

Figure 4—Serial adder DCDL program
The control function of AUC is specified by a microprogram in the PROGRAM section of AUC. The interpretation of the microprogram is as follows:

a. When a controller receives the ORDER ADD, it issues the ORDER RESET. After the default time lapse, two time units, the controller switches to state A1.
b. In state A1, the controller issues the ORDER \(<A + B>\). After two time units, the controller moves to A2;
c. At state A2 the controller issues two ORDERs \(<A + B>\) and CNT. The next state is A3;
d. A3 is a conditional branch. If TEST is "ONE", the next state is A4. If TEST is "ZERO", the next state is A2. The GO_TO line is an internal control branch specification which does not require any additional cycle. Therefore the execution time of this line is zero time units;
e. The last microprogram line states that when the controller is in state A4 it issues the REPLY pulse FIN, and returns to its zero state.

The highest controller in the structure is AAA PANEL at level 3. The PANEL specifies the system's initial conditions (placing initial values in A and B) using the SYSTEM RESET statement. The initial condition for the timer is specified by the statement *TIME = 0. The key word *START indicates the initiating variable, and the key word *FINISH is followed by the variable signaling completion. The last statement in PANEL is *END followed by PANEL's label AAA.

More Complex Structures

The above description has illustrated the use of DCDL to design a simple adder. The language and system have been used to design more complex structures including a multiplier and special purpose logic card tester.

CONCLUSION

The scope of the DCDS study was limited to systems for which a set of predefined building blocks and a defined structure are present. A total design automation system requires programming tools capable of studying, simulating, and gathering statistics and thereby able to evaluate conjectures about the behavior of structures and sequences of events before the details of the structures and events are known. We hope that further extension of DCDS and further study in simulation and modeling will add the capability to make conjectures based on systems less rigorously defined than DCDS presently requires them to be.

The DCDL implementation by sublanguages which are compiled by META5 allows a simple insertion of other sublanguages designed to study the architectures of systems. The DCDL pseudo machine operates as a FORTRAN based simulator either to describe the simulated system or to augment the pseudo machine instruction set.
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