ometry and group theory" as they state. That would be preposterous! Similarly, I did not propose the correctness condition as a desirable property. I argued that one does not want to require a criterion to satisfy it.

Finally, it is peculiar that they state that I analyzed four adequacy criteria in my paper. In fact there were five criteria evaluated. The fifth, which they ignored, was based on work in a paper I coauthored with Martin Davis [2]. Its title describes its contents: "A Formal Notion of Program-Based Test Data Adequacy." Recently, we have generalized that work [3].

I would once again like to state that I encourage others to propose additional axioms, and hope that such work will ultimately lead to better, more usable criteria.
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