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Domain Analysis has been researched, debated, and applied for fifteen years. There are many documented methods available. The collective heritage of these methods covers the spectrum from the procedurally-oriented to the object-oriented paradigms. Application focus includes re-engineering (tar pit extraction?), requirements engineering, organizational learning, reuse, class/object identification, and design pattern identification (object mania?).

This panel brings together perspectives on domain analysis by five practitioner methodologists. Diverse experiences including technology insertion, stakeholder analysis, and team techniques are represented. The intent is to focus on the application of domain analysis methods. The objective of the panel is to share the experiences of the participants (both panelists and audience) and to offer practical advice.
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The Feature-Oriented Domain Analysis (FODA) method was developed by the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) in response to a perceived need for a domain analysis method that emphasized the identification of prominent or distinct user-visible aspects ... in a domain, i.e. features. This method, first published in 1990 as [1], has had a major impact on the state of domain analysis (DA) methods.

FODA was one of the DA methods compared in [2] and has been cited as a basis for many DA approaches, as seen in [3] and by eight papers/authors in [4].

The notion of identifying features in a domain is the heart of the FODA method. Features are the chief mechanism for defining the commonalities of and differences between various capabilities in a domain. FODA, and many of its spin-offs, are DA methods that place great emphasis on features. In [1], three distinct classes of features were defined (mandatory, alternative, and optional) depicted as an And/Or tree. Also, an initial categorization of features was presented. In a later report [5], the categories were refined into three distinct groups: Context, Operational, and Representation features. These categories and the features tree, along with the Information (using Entity-Relationship or semantic data models, or both) and the Operational Models of FODA (and FODA-like methods), are the basis for developing object-oriented software components that will integrate together easily into systems that satisfy all of the user requirements.

The context features branch of the Feature Model tree provides valuable knowledge of the reasons for variability of the software products within a product family/domain. In many cases, context features are the driving force behind the selection of various alternatives. They can specify global constraints on software components (such as time/space performance issues) that cannot be allocated singly to components that embody operations, i.e. lower-level operational features. Similarly, the selection of various
representation features can play a major role in the operational capabilities and information needs of potential software products derivable from domain analysis results ([5] and [6] provide evidence for these claims).

Object-oriented (OO) software can be readily developed from FODA models. The kinds of data needed and their interrelationships are portrayed in the Information Model. The approach taken in [7] for developing software components from DA models describes a Domain Design process for creating object specifications and their implementations. Steps included in this process include object identification (what data entities are and are not encapsulated as objects), derivation of object operations/methods from operational features with their classes, and appropriate examination of the Operational model, particularly for data input and outputs. Furthermore, this approach describes a process for grouping objects at a higher level of abstraction than the objects themselves, thus avoiding the flat and overly broad designs seen in many OO design methods. The main criterion for grouping objects is the usage of the operational features to collect the objects (and their operations) together that provide the properties of a higher-level feature. These collections of objects, called subsystems in [7], provide a means for looking at software requirements and designs at the levels of abstraction needed to make reuse of designs a reality.

[7] also contains an example of the usage of the design approach described briefly above. The example focuses on the use of a particular architecture (the Object Connection Architecture (OCA), described in [7]) and implementation language (Ada83), but the overall approach is amenable to the use of other architectural styles and/or languages, as suggested in the report. The use of design patterns in implementing objects and higher-level abstractions within such an approach is not yet fully resolved.

A Features Model can describe the interrelationships between objects and various qualities of software products beyond objects and their methods, along with denoting the objects and methods themselves. These abilities makes DA methods that place high importance on feature collection and categorization highly valuable methods for performing domain analysis with object-oriented intentions. FODA and its descendants are good examples of such methods.
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