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Using 2D Maps for 3D Localization

Leila De Floriani, University of Genoa

This installment highlighting the work published in IEEE Computer Society journals comes from IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics.

Today’s smartphones and tablets allow augmented reality at consumer prices by superimposing information registered onto the device’s live video preview. However, despite augmented reality’s promise—and attention from Google, Microsoft, and other major technology providers—it’s still not widely used.

One obstacle to widespread adoption is the lack of outdoor localization technology that can operate with reasonable resources. The mobile devices themselves are inexpensive. However, outdoor localization that scales to large areas, such as an entire city, typically requires access to costly infrastructures. First, a detailed 3D reconstruction of the environment must be captured and stored in a database. Second, a computationally expensive image-matching process from the live video image to the database must be run to determine the device’s location. Computation can be pushed to the cloud, but not without adding network latency that hurts real-time processing.

In “Instant Outdoor Localization and SLAM Initialization from 2.5D Maps” (IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics, vol. 21, no. 11, 2015, pp. 1309–1318), Clemens Arth and his coauthors propose an alternative approach to outdoor localization that works instantaneously, using only the video image, the device sensors (such as GPS and compass), and public OpenStreetMap (www.openstreetmap.org) data. The map data, consisting of building outlines and roof height, is freely available for most cities.

Arth and his coauthors began with a rough location estimate from the device sensors and walked through a series of steps to match the vertical and horizontal lines delineating facades in the video image with a 3D projection of the buildings described in the map data (see Figure 1). With this minimal information, they were able to obtain a localization that was nearly as accurate as that achieved with state-of-the-art image-matching methods.

This approach represents a significant step toward making outdoor localization on mobile devices practical, and expensive, citywide 3D reconstruction optional. The required map data is already available and has lightweight storage requirements. Because fewer database items are involved than when 3D reconstructions are used, computation can be optimized to operate on low-powered mobile devices that potential users already own.

Figure 1. Instant outdoor localization that uses only the video image, device sensors, and OpenStreetMap data. (a) User with a mobile device. (b) OpenStreetMap data. (c) Refining the estimated location from raw GPS data (blue) toward the observed building outlines (green). (d) Presenting augmentations registered in 3D.
The IEEE Computer Society’s lineup of 13 peer-reviewed technical magazines covers cutting-edge topics ranging from software design and computer graphics to Internet computing and security, from scientific applications and machine intelligence to cloud migration and microchip manufacturing. Here are highlights from recent issues.

**Computer**

*Computer’s* March 2016 special issue explores communications and privacy under surveillance, which has become an increasing concern as technology advances have made electronic snooping easier and more effective.

**IEEE Software**

*IEEE Software*’s March/April 2016 special issue examines several facets of this complicated puzzle.

**IEEE Internet Computing**

*IEEE Internet Computing*’s March/April 2016 issue looks at what’s on the horizon for tomorrow’s Internet. Representing a balance of emerging and maturing technologies and applications, the articles showcase a mix of research with broad coverage in information privacy, cloud computing, service-oriented computing, internetworking, and social-network analysis.

**IEEE Security & Privacy**

*IEEE Security & Privacy*’s March/April 2016 special issue includes five of the best papers from the RESPECT (Research on Equity and Sustained Participation in Engineering, Computing, and Technology) 2015 conference. The articles are part one of a two-part series addressing research on broadening participation in computing at all levels of education, focusing on gender, race, and ethnic diversity.

Vulnerabilities, whether deliberate backdoor access mechanisms or accidental flaws, make us all less secure. Getting security right is harder than it looks, and the best chance to do this is to make the cryptography
as simple and public as possible, noted Bruce Schneier in “Cryptography Is Harder than It Looks,” from S&P’s January/February 2016 issue.

IEEE Cloud Computing

With cloud computing emerging as one of the enterprise’s key enabling technologies, a fundamental challenge becomes simply understanding complex cloud architectures, how they work, and the value they can bring. “Understanding Complex Cloud Patterns,” from IEEE Cloud Computing’s January/February 2016 special issue, helps readers choose from among the available architectures.

IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications

Many countries’ economic advances are due to technological developments, which often rely on informatics that encompass data, knowledge, information systems, and information and communication technologies. Visual computing plays an important role in this process, as highlighted by CG&A’s March/April 2016 special issue on visual computing and the progress of developing countries.

IEEE Intelligent Systems

IEEE Intelligent Systems’ March/April 2016 special issue discusses improvements in pattern recognition theory and applications, particularly those involving pattern classification and image analysis. The articles in part one of this series address subjects such as image feature representation and contextual pattern analysis.

IEEE MultiMedia

In a world of big data, sometimes having the right amount of small data at the right time can pack a powerful punch, a concept explored in “Small Data, Big Impact,” which appears in IEEE MultiMedia’s January–March 2016 issue. The authors present their research project in this area, its multistage design process, and their lessons learned.

IEEE Annals of the History of Computing

Most doctors’ offices have computers, but little communication is taking place among the machines. “Ask Your Doctor … About Computers,” from IEEE Annals’ January–March 2016 issue, surveys efforts to introduce computerized, centralized, and portable electronic health record-keeping systems in the US and explains why they have been largely unsuccessful.

IEEE Pervasive Computing

The pervasive computing and Internet of Things communities examine similar problems and face similar challenges. “Pervasive Computing and the Internet of Things,” which appears in IEEE Pervasive Computing’s January–March 2016 issue, encourages the two communities to work together to achieve common goals.

IT Professional

Since its founding, NASA has led the US government’s exploration of space, and its advances have benefited both government and the private sector. Because NASA has unique requirements for data collection and analysis, it has been on the leading edge of many data, computational science, and other innovations, notes “Really, Really Big Data: NASA at the Forefront of Analytics,” from IT Pro’s January/February 2016 issue.

IEEE Micro

Computing in large-scale systems is shifting from the traditional compute-centric model to a data-centric one. This trend is being driven by today’s large data volumes and the loss of performance and energy efficiency caused by the typical approach of moving data to the locations where computations are performed. Near-data processing—the topic of IEEE Micro’s January/February 2016 special issue—solves this problem by moving the computation to the data.

Computing Now

The Computing Now website (http://computingnow.computer.org) features up-to-the-minute computing news and blogs, along with articles ranging from peer-reviewed research to opinion pieces by industry leaders.
In the technology revolution that is the Internet of Things (IoT), everyday objects—such as thermostats, cars, consumer-electronic devices, home appliances, factory equipment, vending machines, and building-security products—have embedded Internet connectivity. This allows them to send and receive data, and communicate with one another or with centralized control systems.

The IoT lets systems remotely and automatically both sense and control objects across the Internet, integrating the physical world into computer-based systems and promising greater efficiency, accuracy, and cost savings.

However, the IoT also faces several significant challenges, including security, privacy, scalability, design complexity, and concerns about safety resulting from the lack of human control over systems. This ComputingEdge issue examines the IoT’s technology, opportunities, and challenges.

Developers must consider the dependencies implicit in their IoT designs, factoring in the resilience needed to keep the infrastructure operational, according to Internet pioneer Vinton Cerf in IEEE Internet Computing’s “Dependencies.”

A major threat to the IoT’s effectiveness is its lack of architecture standards and connectivity. IEEE Software’s “Reference Architectures for the Internet of Things” reviews recent IoT architecture evolution and what it means for industry-based projects.

Focusing on a particularly security-sensitive product—the garage-door opener—IEEE Security & Privacy’s “Garage Door Openers: An Internet of Things Case Study” investigates how IoT devices might be designed with security in mind.

The author of IEEE Pervasive Computing’s “Pervasive Computing and the Internet of Things” encourages the pervasive computing and IoT communities to join forces because they share largely overlapping technical interests and goals.

ComputingEdge articles on other subjects include the following:

- *IT Professional*’s “IT Project Failures: What Management Can Learn” provides suggestions about how managers can use the lessons of projects gone wrong to ensure future success.
- In *IEEE Pervasive Computing*’s “Turning Back the Clock,” the author revisits pervasive computing’s burning issues from a decade ago and looks at where we stand on them today.
- “Ask Your Doctor … About Computers,” from *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing*, briefly surveys efforts to introduce computerized, centralized, and portable electronic health records in the US and why they have been largely unsuccessful.
A couple of years ago at a Marconi Symposium (www.marconisociety.org) I was lecturing about information infrastructure in San Diego. During this lecture, I mentioned that as dependent as we are on communication technology, we’re even more dependent on electricity. A few hours later, all of the power went out in San Diego and beyond for a couple of days. After the power finally came back on, I got an email from one of the attendees saying, “You didn't have to do that to make your point!”

The fact is, we’re incredibly dependent on electricity and the things that use it. Last month, I wrote in a Communications of the ACM column (“Cerf’s Up”) about my personal dependency on hearing aid batteries (see http://cacm.acm.org/magazines/2015/5/186028-cascade-failure/fulltext). These expensive devices are worthless if I can’t get batteries for them. Readers are surely familiar with the proverb “For Want of a Nail.”

Technological progress seems to weave its own Web of dependencies and sometimes we don’t think enough about the implications. For electricity, battery backup and generators are becoming more common. Elon Musk recently announced a product line of home battery back-ups that complement the batteries that run his now-famous Tesla automobile, for example. In fact, we can imagine that power generation will become more distributed, making us less dependent on central power generation and wired distribution and more dependent on solar or other renewable power-generation options. This is a complex area and it isn’t easy to get it right.

In Hawaii, for example, it’s increasingly common for homes to install solar power arrays and sell power back to the electric companies. The companies must make investments in new power management equipment and facilities, however, and this costs money that they can’t afford if they’re buying power from residents who are paying them less and less. Getting the incentives and business models right is part of the optimization picture.

Thus, incentives form an interesting part of an equation that balances dependencies and backup. I think the same concerns may arise as the Internet of Things (IoT) becomes a more common reality. We have many aspirations arising from the introduction of software and communication into ordinary appliances. Already we see one form of this dependency in the programmable chips that run automobile engines and other functions now common in automobiles. If the software is inaccessible to all but certified maintenance staff, the long-term prospects for maintaining these vehicles may diminish. We can appreciate that access to this software needs to be constrained—you don’t want hackers to modify your automobile controls to create hazardous conditions or deliberately cause damage. At the same time, we can imagine automobiles and appliances rendered useless, despite their cost, if the software can’t be accessed for repair. “Sorry, we no longer support the 2023 version of the operating system on your Phlogiston Phantasy. Too bad if it’s stuck in the garage.”

As the IoT ecosystem evolves, it seems important to ask questions about the dependencies implicit in the design and resilience of the infrastructure needed to keep them operating. We can also ask what happens when they’re prevented from operating because of their dependency on electrical power, the Internet, mobile phones, and so on.

The same questions have no doubt been asked about “fly-by-wire” designs, and usually the answer is redundancy. Another kind of answer might be rapid recovery, and redeployment of equipment and facilities. A third answer might
be a backup mechanical system — although for many use cases, this probably won't be an alternative. The whole point of IoT, in many instances, is precisely the software's flexibility.

Speaking of which, this draws our attention to one more dependency: software has bugs and we need a way to update software safely. That is, to be assured the updates can happen and come from a trusted source. Many devices that are part of the IoT zoo might not have displays or other mechanical interfaces. Our mobiles and Bluetooth radios might be the only way to reach inside for control. Strong authentication methods will be attractive, to limit access and to assure that the updates come from recognized sources. Of course, any time we rely on strong authentication mechanisms we also inherit potential, if inadvertent, denial-of-service situations if the authentication fails. “Sorry, your refrigerator update can’t be installed; you haven’t provided the proper access key. Too bad if your ice cream is melting.”

I don’t have a lot of answers for you here, but I hope readers who are involved in IoT will give serious thought to dependencies in the infrastructure needed to make them work.

Vinton G. Cerf is vice president and chief Internet evangelist at Google, and past president of ACM. He’s widely known as one of the “fathers of the Internet.” He’s a fellow of IEEE and ACM. Contact him at vgcerf@gmail.com.

This article originally appeared in IEEE Internet Computing, vol. 19, no. 4, 2015.
Reference Architectures for the Internet of Things

Michael Weyrich and Christof Ebert

The Internet of Things (IoT) is about innovative functionality and better productivity by seamlessly connecting devices. But a major threat is the lack of architecture standards for the industrial Internet and connectivity in the IoT. Here, Michael Weyrich and I review recent IoT architecture evolution and what it means for industry projects. I look forward to hearing from both readers and prospective column authors. —Christof Ebert

Imagine being the engineering head of an automotive-engine manufacturer. Having been making engines over the past decades, your company knows them inside and out. But engines are changing quickly—too fast for many in your company, be it in manufacturing, repair, or service. First, embedded electronics made your engines anything but mechanical. Then, the engines became increasingly connected to other electronic systems in the car. Suddenly there is an explosion of technological opportunities and challenges. You need a device cloud for different configurations and their updates, integration and test capabilities to handle the state explosion of your systems, security skills to keep the machines in a defined mode and thus safe for the public, new tablet applications for diagnosis and repair, connectivity to enterprise-resource-planning systems, and big-data analytics to support engineering and maintenance throughout the life cycle.

The upside is obvious. Knowing typical user needs and orchestrating them in the products and workflows in real time creates a wealth of value:

- **Flexibility.** Products can autonomously adapt to usage scenarios such as assisted living, intelligent buildings, smart transportation, energy, healthcare, transportation, or entire supply chains.
- **Usability.** Even complex products can be operated more easily, thus improving the user experience while mitigating hazards.
- **Productivity.** Service extends toward predictive maintenance and proactive enhancements, improving uptime and thus productivity.

The Internet of Things (IoT) will boost a tremendous amount of innovation, efficiency, and quality. Connecting production, medical, automotive, or transportation systems with IT systems...
and business-critical information will provide tremendous value to organizations. Major IT companies such as Cisco and SAP have predicted billions of networked devices and a universe of IT-based business services, with expectations of a trillion-dollar business.\textsuperscript{1,2}

But who in your company understands the combined software and IT needs and necessary architectures and technology stack? Not many. Business leaders know the value chain but don't bother about technology. Manufacturing shies away when confronted with software technology. IT departments tend to overlook in their big and distant perspective that there are real products and customers. Engineering departments focus on system development and embedded electronics, and consider IT one of those big things that never work as expected. IoT architecture and modeling solutions must connect heterogeneous communities to understand and work together.

Software engineering for the IoT poses challenges in light of new applications, devices, and services. Mobility, user-centric development, smart devices, e-services, ambient environments, e-health, and wearable or even implantable devices pose specific challenges for specifying software requirements and developing reliable, safe software. Specific software interfaces, agile organization, and software dependability require particular approaches for security, maintainability, and sustainability. Reference architectures for the IoT aim to help developers meet these challenges.

**A Brief History of the IoT**

The term “Internet of Things” was first used in 1999 by Kevin Ashton, who worked on a standard for tagging objects using RFID for logistics applications. However, the idea of ubiquitous computing goes back to the late 1980s. Since then, researchers have worked on many systems focusing on tags and sensor networks, middleware and cloud technologies, and communication networks.

A highly visible milestone was reached when the Internet Engineering Task Force released IPv6, the protocol that enables the IoT. Recently, the IoT has received a boost from commercial engagement and work on reference architectures driven by the major industries:

- Google has announced Brillo as an OS for IoT devices in smart homes.
- Devices are commercially available for machine-to-machine (M2M) communication standards such as Bluetooth, ZigBee, IPC Global’s standards, and low-power Wi-Fi.

Two alternative names are used for the IoT: Industrial Internet in North America and Industry 4.0 in Europe. However, the preferred name seems to be the Internet of Things.

**IoT Architecture and Model Evolution**

So far, IoT applications have been based on fragmented software implementations for specific systems and use cases. The big need for reference architectures in industry has become tangible with the fast-growing number of initiatives working toward standardized architectures. These initiatives aim to facilitate interoperability, simplify development, and ease implementation. Table 1 gives a brief overview of them.

For example, the Reference Architecture Model Industrie 4.0 (RAMI 4.0) goes beyond the IoT, adding manufacturing and logistics details. The Industrial Internet
### Internet of Things (IoT) reference architectures are evolving in close collaboration between research and industry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IoT reference architecture models</td>
<td>Reference Architecture Model Industrie 4.0 (RAMI 4.0)</td>
<td>A reference architecture for smart factories dedicated to IoT standards, which started in Germany and today is driven by all major companies and foundations in the relevant industry sectors.</td>
<td>Version 1 as of July 2015</td>
<td><a href="http://www.zvei.org/en/association/specialist-divisions/automation/Pages/default.aspx">www.zvei.org/en/association/specialist-divisions/automation/Pages/default.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial Internet Reference Architecture (IIA)</td>
<td>The Industrial Internet Consortium (founded by AT&amp;T, Cisco, General Electric, IBM, and Intel) has delivered a reference architecture for broader consideration and discussion.</td>
<td>Version 1.7 as of June 2015</td>
<td><a href="http://www.iiconsortium.org">www.iiconsortium.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internet of Things—Architecture (IoT-A)</td>
<td>The IoT-A delivered a detailed architecture and model from the functional and information perspectives. The project also performed a detailed analysis of system requirements.</td>
<td>The final architectural reference model for the IoT v.3.0 as of July 2013</td>
<td><a href="http://www.iot-a.eu/public/public-documents/d1.5/view">www.iot-a.eu/public/public-documents/d1.5/view</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arrowhead Framework</td>
<td>This initiative enables collaborative automation by open-networked embedded devices. It’s a major EU project to deliver best practices for cooperative automation.</td>
<td>Ongoing updates and release of material by spring 2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.arrowhead.eu">www.arrowhead.eu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine-to-machine (M2M) standards relevant to the IoT</td>
<td>European Telecommunications Standards Institute Technical Committee (ETSI TC) for M2M</td>
<td>The TC provides IoT communication standards.</td>
<td>Various available standards and drafts</td>
<td><a href="http://www.etsi.org/technologies-clusters/technologies/m2m">www.etsi.org/technologies-clusters/technologies/m2m</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International Telecommunication Union Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T)</td>
<td>The ITU-T has coordination activities on aspects of identification systems for M2M.</td>
<td>Various available standards and drafts</td>
<td><a href="http://www.itu.int/en/Pages">www.itu.int/en/Pages</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further activities</td>
<td>European Research Cluster on the Internet of Things (IREC)</td>
<td>The IREC is involved in many IoT-related issues, including connected objects, the Web of things, and the future of the Internet.</td>
<td>Ongoing updates</td>
<td><a href="http://www.internet-of-things-research.eu">www.internet-of-things-research.eu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smart Appliances (SMART) study</td>
<td>This EU-funded study focused on semantic assets for smart-appliance interoperability.</td>
<td>Smart-appliance reference ontology definition as of Mar. 2015</td>
<td><a href="https://sites.google.com/site/smartappliancesproject/home">https://sites.google.com/site/smartappliancesproject/home</a> or <a href="http://ontology.tno.nl/saref">http://ontology.tno.nl/saref</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Are Reference Architectures About?
Identifying and structuring an architecture or model is a long, tedious process with much negotiation to abstract from specific needs and technologies. Such a reference can serve as an overall, generic guideline; not all domain applications will require each detail for real-life implementation.

Nevertheless, the requirements are easy to grasp:

- Connectivity and communications are important. This might involve one-to-one connectivity (unicast) or data collection and information dissemination to multiple partners (multicast and anycast).
- Device management must provide solutions once a device is added or a device configuration changes and must be propagated to other devices.
- Data collection, analysis, and actuation are relevant for extracting information and knowledge for offering services.
- Scalability is important to handle increased processing volumes for different installation sizes.
- Security features are necessary to provide trust and privacy and are required for all aspects of the IoT.

An IoT reference architecture handles those requirements and forms a superset of functionalities, information structures, and mechanisms. Additional consideration of entities and their interaction leads to a reference model. Such a model integrates aspects of the related entities such as human users, device implementations, and server structures and provides a more complete view of, or templates for, the overall setup and its domain implementation. Both the architecture and model help describe and map technologies to business cases.

Available Architectures
Two major architectures are available: the IoT-A and IIRA. Both architecture proposals have been thoroughly prepared, but the IoT-A has been described in detail and extended. Since its 2012 launch, it has been synchronized with the IoT community and incorporates multiple views. In contrast, the IIRA still aims for feedback and further detailing.

We now compare these architectures regarding their capabilities and layers according to three perspectives.3

The first perspective is semantic orientation—the interpretation of data and information to create knowledge for business cases. The IoT-A concentrates on the generic aspects of informatics instead of the application facets of semantics. In contrast, the IIRA focuses on the functionality of the industry domain, such as business, operations (prognostics, monitoring, optimization, and so on), information (analytics and data), and application (UIs, APIs, logic, and rules). RAMI 4.0, which is domain specific, extends the view of the IIRA toward the life cycle and value streams of manufacturing applications.4 In particular, it enhances the functional-layer structure by two dimensions—the life cycle and value stream—as well as by hierarchy levels (for further details, see International Electrotechnical Commission [IEC] standards 62890, 62264, and 61512).

The second perspective is Internet orientation and has two aspects. The first is middleware for service support and data management in the cloud and servers. The IoT-A extensively covers the modeling and structuring of IoT business process management, virtual entities, IoT services, and cross-service organization from the functional, information, and domain viewpoints, in an abstract manner. Cloud aspects, in the sense of the server-side architecture and its management, are defined by the implementation. The same applies to agents and code on domain-specific devices. The IIRA also focuses on these aspects but remains closer to business and use cases.

The second aspect is networking, transport, and data links. Both architectures briefly regard these things but refer mostly to M2M communication to cover the OSI stack’s lower layers. For instance, the network layer could be implemented by IPv6, whereas network and transport could be based on UDP (User Datagram Protocol) and CoAP (Constrained Application Protocol). Alternative realizations might employ the lightweight protocol MQTT, which could be used on top of TCP/IP instead of HTTP.

The third perspective is things orientation, which centers on assets such as sensors, actuators, and tags, which are crucial in both the IoT-A and IIRA. This is the classic approach for the automation industry, which tries to define bottom-up a reference around tangible objects and their individual data sources and information needs.

Both architectures have management and security mechanisms across all layers. The architecture proposals help define and explain
the IoT’s overall structure. They provide descriptive models of how IoT devices and humans interact and process data, incorporating patterns of M2M communication standards. These models have different perspectives and granularities in describing IoT. Table 2 shows the most recent architectural layers and protocols.

### Deployment Hurdles

The IoT is rich in approaches, concepts, and structures. Various initiatives have already delivered IoT models, architectures, and tools. However, a further convergence of approaches and industrial standards is required for simplification. This raises issues about the key IoT enablers.

One key enabler is efficient, secure communication. Many technologies exist to implement the communication stack. Low-power wireless networks that require little implementation effort are necessary, for which security is an important factor.

Device hardware suitable for implementing the IoT is readily available. However, it’s questionable whether device-oriented, real-time networked OSs will ever exist for the different application domains or whether the diversity of real-time OSs will continue.

Logic controllers, cyclic or event-based, have been extensively discussed and standardized. Typical use cases have been identified, and solutions are available, which could be reconsidered and adopted for the IoT. Conversely, the industrial-automation community might profit from new development kits for the IoT.

Finally, big-data analytics and the human–machine interface form the front end for users and are close to the business case. Owing to applications’ individual characteristics, not much standardization is available yet. However, a first step toward standardization could be semantic description. Standardized languages such as Vorto or Weave are important to describe devices, parameters, user interfaces, and so on.

The IoT has become the major disruptive technology changing software—and society. Businesses, cities, and even countries must now start on this networked digitization. But focusing only on networking isn’t enough. Networking depends on the software that collects the information, and that software must be designed for the IoT’s unique needs. Now is the time for software and industry leaders to agree on standards and thus avoid systems that are crippled because they can’t talk with each other.
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**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perspective</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semantic oriented</td>
<td>Service protocols such as OPC UA (OPC Unified Architecture), UPnP (Universal Plug and Play), DPWS (Devices Profile for Web Services), CoAP (Constrained Application Protocol), and EXI (Efficient XML Interchange).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet oriented</td>
<td>Interconnectivity and protocol conversion based on UDP (User Datagram Protocol) vs. TCP with HTTP or MQTT. Support for IPv4 or IPv6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Things oriented</td>
<td>A physical layer and data link layer with low-level communication protocols suitable for easy installation and maintenance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This article originally appeared in IEEE Software, vol. 33, no. 1, 2016.**
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Early last year, my garage door opener’s motor died. While researching potential replacement units, I focused on Chamberlain’s products because they had a reputation for high quality. Once I settled on a model, I noticed another option: for a little more money, Chamberlain would include the MyQ Internet Gateway, its new system for monitoring and controlling the opener via the Internet. Curiosity got the best of me, so I went for it.

After installing the opener, the MyQ languished in my closet for months. I loved the idea of getting an alert if I left my garage door open—I can’t count the number of times I’ve turned around five minutes after leaving the house to double-check that it was closed—but I felt sure there would be a security flaw in the MyQ that would make me worse off. The emergence of the Internet of Things (IoT) has turned trusted, long-standing companies into unwitting network attack vectors.1

But the MyQ is different from—and more impactful than—other IoT devices: it controls access to my house. That got me thinking: What if I wanted to solve this problem from the ground up? How would I design an Internet-connected garage door opener (“IoT opener”) to be adequately secure? Is it possible?

The Ground Rules
First, I define “adequately secure” to mean no less secure than the traditional rolling-code garage door opener. Because that’s the system the MyQ is replacing, it seems like the right standard.

Second, due to space constraints, I focus only on design. The usual implementation caveats—for example, the need for well-written code and correct use of encryption libraries—still apply, but I won’t address them in detail.

Third, I assume the same basic set of features the MyQ offers: a user can open or close a garage door via the Internet from a smartphone or computer and receive emails or push notifications when the door’s status changes.

Standard Garage Door Openers
If the standard is the security of rolling-code openers, we first need to understand how those openers work.

The most popular rolling-code implementation is a product called KeeLoq, a lightweight block cipher that generates codes based on a cryptographic key and a counter (www.webcitation.org/6ZZYZpH2n). When a user syncs a remote control with a garage door opener, the remote control begins to generate the same codes, in the same order, as that opener. Thereafter, when a user pushes the “open” button, the remote control increments its counter, generates a new code, and broadcasts that code wirelessly. When the opener receives a code, it checks the code against the next 256 codes in its
queue. (Checking against so many possible codes helps ensure that the remote control and the opener don’t lose sync when a user presses the button outside the opener’s receiving range.) If the code is a match, the opener increments its counter to just above the matching code and opens the door. In addition to using remote controls, some users mount keypads in front of their garages that similarly sync with the openers; these keypads broadcast a code when a user correctly enters a numeric password.

The simplest way for attackers to open a rolling-code garage door opener is to sync it to a new remote control. Replacement remote controls are available at just about any hardware store, and syncing them requires only a few minutes alone in the garage. A similarly easy option is to go after the keypad by spying on the user, or deduce or brute-force the code. A third option is a physical attack. Most openers include an emergency release rope just inside the door. If an attacker can slip a wire hanger above the door and latch onto that rope, a skilled tug can unlock the door. The final option for attacking traditional openers is to go after the rolling-code mechanism itself. Over the past decade, several researchers have developed methods to derive a KeeLoq key given access to a working, synced remote control.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\) A simpler but less effective approach is to sniff a code over the air from a remote control by pushing the “open” button outside the opener’s range, and then using that code before the owner comes home (at which point, that code will expire).

All these attacks require close proximity to either the garage or the remote control and are sufficiently difficult that virtually all intruders prefer to break a window, force a door open, or pick a lock. But where are those intruders? Why aren’t they taking advantage of the universally weak security of modern suburban homes? As podcaster Roman Mars eloquently observed, “locks have become a social construct as much as they are a mechanical construct” (http://99percentinvisible.org/episode/perfect-security). Garage door openers only need to be secure enough to let passersby know we don’t want them to come in.

### Exposers and the Internet of Things

Exposing garage door openers to the Internet might make them such easy targets as to pose a real risk. What if an attacker could indiscriminately send open commands to any opener?

What if every time an email account is hacked, the hacker is given a clear path to find the user’s home address and credentials to open that user’s garage? What if an attacker managed to download a whole database of user credentials for IoT openers? Any of these possibilities would make home intrusions so easy as to be inevitable. We’re starting to see this evolution with cars that use Bluetooth keys; it’s become so easy and cheap to break into some of them that insurers have started demanding additional security measures.\(^5\)

But before I can delve deeper into how those types of attacks might happen on IoT openers, I must first address a key architectural question: Will the opener authenticate and authorize the user, or will a cloud service do so on the opener’s behalf? When I bought the MyQ, I hoped it would be the former, as I had grand plans for putting the opener on its own private virtual LAN and having my wife use a virtual private network to reach it, because who would trust a garage door opener company with network access control? But I was naïve.

The IoT industry has clearly decided that having a central service act as a clearinghouse for authentication, authorization, and commands is a must, and it’s easy to see why: it frees them from having to worry about configuring home routers, setting up dynamic DNS for when customers’ IP addresses change, or having access to all of a user’s relevant data when those inevitable tech support calls come in. The problem is that the cloud service opens another attack surface, and a big one: instead of having to hack a single IoT opener at a time, attackers can try to hack them all through the cloud service. It’s a single point of failure for authentication, integrity, and availability. Indeed, MyQ experienced an unplanned four-hour disruption in late April that affected all users, and I doubt it will be the last.

### Cloud Service Authentication

Using a cloud service as a central hub isn’t the security decision I would have made, but it seems like such a foregone conclusion that I’ll treat it as an assumption for the remainder of this article. Authentication at both the cloud service and the opener is of paramount concern. The obvious way for the cloud service to authenticate to the opener is with a certificate, but what happens when attackers compromise the private key behind that certificate? This could allow attackers to send arbitrary commands to any opener, or something much worse: if the certificate can be used to force over-the-air software updates, attackers...
could gain control of the whole system. For this reason, software updates should be user initiated and openers should regularly check for certificate revocation.

Authentication at the cloud service is more complicated. Perhaps the worst-case scenario is when attackers download the password database, as in the famous attacks on Sony’s PlayStation Network (www.cnet.com/news/playstation-network-still-offline-after-suspected-attack) and LinkedIn (http://money.cnn.com/2012/06/06/technology/linkedin-password-hack). If the passwords aren’t sufficiently complex to stymie brute-force attacks or aren’t encoded by an adequate key derivation function (such as bcrypt), user account takeover becomes trivial.

What about password reset, the issue behind attacks on countless celebrities’ email and Apple iCloud accounts? Google recently published research suggesting that security questions are insufficient to protect accounts. As of this writing, MyQ uses email for password reset, which, in this case, seems like a terrible idea: any time attackers hijack an email account, they can search for emails containing the term “MyQ” to determine whether the user has a MyQ account, and then search for shipping information to determine the user’s home address. Ironically, just about the only personal information the MyQ website asked for was my home address, which is the information they should least want to have on file. This combination of information allows attackers to build databases of locations of vulnerable openers.

An opener is actually an interesting case from a password reset perspective in that it has an unusual security feature: it never moves. That means a user can’t lose it, and it would therefore be reasonable for Chamberlain to require users to electronically prove they have possession of it (for example, the opener could display a code that rolls every few seconds). Such a feature would also help new homebuyers prove transfer of opener ownership.

Potential Security Improvements

The other side of this discussion is the security improvements networked openers might offer. One improvement is two-factor authentication (2FA). Many of the problems outlined in this article can be mitigated by 2FA and, in a system that’s already so reliant on smartphones, users are already carrying the obvious second factor in their pockets. A second improvement is policy-based access control. This can be useful in several ways:

- allowing multiple user accounts to control the door, but only one to administer it;
- allowing administration from specific devices only;
- restricting certain accounts (such as caregiver or contractor) to operating the door only during business hours; and
- creating time-limited guest accounts.

These policies could be easy to administer through a Web interface.
A third improvement is a more granular alerting system. I’d be much more interested in knowing that a new user or remote control was given access to my opener, or that the door opened in the middle of the night, than in knowing that my wife opened the door at 5 pm.

Convenience will continue to drive companies that lack information security expertise to build IoT devices, and consumers to buy them. Ideally, this new IoT world would be built on a few competing platforms by people who understand and can address the security risks—Apple, Google, and Facebook have all recently launched the beginnings of IoT platforms—rather than inexperienced companies rolling their own authentication, authorization, and communication code. Although the risks and functions change from one IoT device to another, they all need the same basic security infrastructure: a way for users to authenticate, two-way mapping between users and devices, access policy creation and enforcement, logging and alerting capability, and secure communication. These problems are largely solved; they just need to be made easy for IoT developers to use. When that happens, and the right security features are in place, I think IoT openers could become difficult enough to attack that no one would bother with them, just like the openers most of us have today. In the meantime, my MyQ is going back in the closet.

Author’s Note
I contacted Chamberlain after writing this article, and a representative responded with an outline of plans for addressing some of the concerns in this article. See the sidebar for the response.
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This issue marks a change that has been in the works for 18 months. In case you didn’t notice, our subtitle has changed from “Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing” to “Mobile Systems | Ubiquitous Computing | Internet of Things.” How does IoT differ from pervasive computing, and why did we add it to our subtitle?

INTERSECTING COMMUNITIES
According to Webopedia.com, pervasive computing, also called ubiquitous computing, “is the idea that almost any device, from clothing to tools to appliances to cars to homes to the human body to your coffee mug, can be imbedded [sic] with chips to connect the device to an infinite network of other devices…. in such a way that the connectivity is unobtrusive and always available.”

The origins of IEEE Pervasive Computing are in realizing the vision outlined in Mark Weiser’s seminal Scientific American paper, “The Computer for the 21st Century,” published in 1991. This vision is summarized by his famous opening statement: “The most profound technologies are those that disappear. They weave themselves into the fabric of everyday life until they are indistinguishable from it.” Mahadev Satyanarayanan outlined this origin in our inaugural issue, and we revisited our progress toward Weiser’s vision in a special issue recognizing the 20th anniversary of Weiser’s seminal article.

Webopedia.com defines IoT as “the ever-growing network of physical objects that feature an IP address for Internet connectivity, and the communication that occurs between these objects and other Internet-enabled devices and systems.” It goes on to say that IoT “extends Internet connectivity beyond traditional devices … to a diverse range of devices and everyday things that utilize embedded technology to communicate and interact with the external environment, all via the Internet.”

Like pervasive computing, the origins of IoT date back to a visionary researcher from the 90s. In 1999, just eight years after Weiser’s seminal article, Kevin Ashton, the head of the Auto-ID Center at MIT, made a presentation to Proctor & Gamble entitled, “Internet of Things.” In fact, numerous overviews of IoT explicitly reference both Weiser’s article as well as Ashton’s presentation (also see http://postscapes.com/internet-of-things-history).

The Internet of Things represents a vision in which the Internet extends into the real world embracing everyday objects. Physical items are no longer disconnected from the virtual world, but can be controlled remotely and can act as physical access points to Internet services. An Internet of Things makes computing truly ubiquitous—a concept initially put forward by Mark Weiser in the early 1990s.

Pervasive computing and IoT examine similar problems and face similar challenges. Some might argue that pervasive computing focuses more on HCI issues—on making the connected things disappear from human attention—while IoT focuses more on connecting the devices. Yet the IoT community also focuses on HCI issues, and the pervasive community thinks about connecting devices too. Both communities are interested in issues beyond just technology, such as privacy, security, and ethics. Both communities are pur-
suing similar use cases, including smart cities, environmental monitoring, agriculture, home automation, and health and wellness monitoring.

The communities behind pervasive computing and IoT share the same (or at least largely overlapping) technical interests and goals, so I encourage our readers to participate in IoT forums, and I welcome submissions from the IoT community. I hope that our subtitle change will help our communities join forces and collaborate. We will all make faster progress if we work together toward our common goals.

IN THIS ISSUE
One topic of interest to both communities is that of smart vehicles, so it is highly appropriate that smart vehicle spaces is the theme of our first special issue under our new subtitle. Steve Hodges, Brian Noble, and Venkatesh Prasad have done a tremendous amount of work on this issue, arranging for an interview and a spotlight column in addition to the themed articles. I want to thank them all for their efforts in bringing you this special issue.

In addition to our theme articles, we also have four feature articles and several departments, many of which should be of interest to both the pervasive and IoT communities. In “Soft Actuation: Smart Home and Office with Human-in-the-Loop,” Jarosław Domaszewicz,Spyros Lalis,Alexander Pruszkowski,Manos Koutsoubelas,Tomasz Tajmar,Janos Grgoropoulos,Michele Nati, and Alexander Gluhak present a system focused on “soft actuation” in which the smart home or office infers a context switch that requires an actuation and triggers its human-in-the-loop to make the actuation. The authors focus on ensuring that the soft actuation remains “calm” by paying careful attention to when to interrupt the user and by providing nonintrusive hints that are easily ignored and sometimes undetected.

Next, Sarah Clinch, Nigel Davies, Mateusz Mikusz, Paul Metzger, Marc Langheinrich, Albrecht Schmidt, and Geoff Ward present “Collecting Shared Experiences through Lifelogging: Lessons Learned.” This article describes their Grasmere House experience, in which they collected lifelogging data in an immersive environment that included instrumenting the house as well as deploying wearable, connected cameras over a period of almost three days. One really interesting aspect of this experiment is that they had almost two dozen lifeloggers living in one house! They outline the experiment and explain the many lessons learned. I encourage anyone interested in lifelogging to have a look at this study.

In “Crowdsensing in the Wild with Aliens and Micropayments,” Manoop Talasila, Reza Curtmola, and Cristian Borcea describe two crowdsensing experiments that they recently completed at the New Jersey Institute of Technology. The two experiments involved a mobile, first-person-shooter game—in which users complete sensing tasks by defeating aliens around

UPCOMING ISSUES
Our upcoming issues will focus on the following topics:
- April–June 2016: Domestic Pervasive Computing
- July–September 2016: Pervasive Displays
- October–December 2016: Energy Harvesting and Power Management (www.computer.org/web/computingnow/pccfp4)
- January–March 2017: Drones (www.computer.org/web/computingnow/pccfp1)
- April–June 2017: Smart Cities

EDITORIAL BOARD CHANGES
I would like to thank the following editorial board members for their many years of service and dedication: Elizabeth Belding, John Canny, Hans Gellersen, Sumi Helal, Anthony Joseph, and Rahul Sukthankar. I wish them all the best in their future endeavors.

At the same time, I welcome two new editorial board members: Jesus Favela and Sanjay Sarma. I look forward to their contributions to the magazine.

Jesus Favela is a professor of computer science at CICESE, Mexico, where he leads the Mobile and Ubiquitous Healthcare Laboratory. His research interests include ubiquitous computing, human-computer interaction and medical informatics. Much of his research efforts have focused on the design and evaluation of ambient computing environments for healthcare, mostly to assist the demanding conditions of hospital work and to support older adults and their caregivers. Favela received his PhD from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). He is a former President of the Mexican Computer Science Society and the current Dean of Graduate Studies at CICESE. Contact him at favela@cicese.mx.

Sanjay Sarma is the Fred Fort Flowers and Daniel Fort Flowers professor of mechanical engineering and newly appointed Director of Digital Learning at MIT. Sarma was one of the founders of the Auto-ID Center at MIT, which, along with a number of partner companies and its “spin-off,” EPCglobal, developed the technical concepts and standards of modern RFID. He also chaired the Auto-ID Research Council consisting of six labs worldwide, which he helped to establish. He is a consultant and board member at several companies, including EPCglobal, and also serves as a permanent guest of the board of GS1 and a member of the board of governors of GSTUS. Sarma also serves on the City of Boston’s Complete Streets Advisory Group. Sarma received his PhD from the University of California at Berkeley. Contact him at sesarma@mit.edu.
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the campus—and a micropayment system—in which participants are paid to complete specific sensing tasks around the campus. The authors describe the two experiments and offer lessons learned about these different approaches to crowdsensing incentives. This article addresses a situation in which the world is not fully instrumented with connected sensors and in which you need to incentivize mobile agents (in this case humans) to augment the sensor network.

Finally, in “Software Support for Multitouch Interaction: The End-User Programming Perspective,” Andrea Bellucci, Marco Romano, Ignacio Aedo, and Paloma Díaz present a survey examining the state-of-the-art of software development tools that support the creation of multitouch sensing interactions by end-user programmers. They examine tools ranging from software developer’s kits provided to support various mobile devices to libraries designed to support touch-based interactions in Web applications.

In our Smartphones department, Ella Peltonen, Eemil Lagerspetz, Petteri Nurmi, and Sasu Tarkoma discuss their work in understanding and characterizing the energy consumption of a wide variety of system settings available to smartphone users with a technique that leverages crowdsourcing. The authors provide useful tips for readers as well as access to their data, which others can use in their own research.

Our Wearable Computing department focuses on watches. Kent Lyons, in “Smartwatch Innovation: Exploring a Watch-First Model,” examines the different types of disruptive innovations and asks whether a smartwatch falls into each type of disruption. He examines whether a smartwatch is an innovation for the smartphone, an innovation for a watch, or a disruptive innovation of its own. He then examines the movement toward “watch first” and what it would take for a watch to be an independent platform of its own. His analysis is well worth reading!

Mary Baker and Justin Manweiller discuss drones, robots, and IoT in Notes from the Community. I haven’t followed the developing drones market closely, and I am amazed at the types of drones becoming available. On the robot front, I was surprised to learn that household robots (aka roombas) outnumber industrial robots almost 10 to 1. I particularly liked the discussion of the IoT-enablement of the sushi conveyer-belt restaurants! And the ability to detect depression from a person’s smile seems like important progress for diagnosing mental health issues.

Our Innovations in Ubicomp Products column examines how cloud-based AI APIs can be used to enhance your pervasive computing products and prototypes. These APIs include capabilities around speech recognition, computer vision, text analytics, machine learning, and more. Albrecht Schmidt shows readers how to make a sample call to three different AI services and then shows the sample output produced by each. He concludes that incorporating basic AI capabilities into your pervasive applications is relatively simple and requires only minimal programming skills, but that complex capabilities might require someone with expertise in computer vision, speech, or the like, depending on your needs.

In Pervasive Health, Stephen Intille discusses the Precision Medicine Initiative (PMI), which was announced by President Obama approximately one year ago. The PMI, which has also been called personalized medicine, has significant implications for pervasive health research and presents numerous challenges for the pervasive computing community to address in the years to come. Imagine collecting all the health and behavior data for a cohort of more than one million individuals and following those individuals longitudinally for decades. Those of you working in the healthcare space will want to read this article carefully; those of you concerned about your own or your loved ones’ health and wellness will find the initiative interesting.

Our upcoming 2016 editorial calendar includes topics that should be of interest to both the pervasive and IoT communities, with special issues on Domestic Pervasive, Pervasive Displays, and Energy Harvesting and Power Management (see the sidebar for more information). I hope that our colleagues in the IoT community will join us in our explorations of these, and future, topics.
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Sensors have been with us for a very long time, but recently they’ve been used more extensively in almost every industry sector and application—for example, agriculture, manufacturing, healthcare, biophysical and environmental sciences, and smart cities. Two technological trends—Internet of Things (IoT) and cloud computing—are pushing for even wider sensor deployment. IoT is bringing new sensor use cases, while cloud computing is becoming the de facto hosting and processing platform for sensor-generated data. Additionally, advances in nanotechnologies are enabling the deployment of nanosensors, allowing us to collect data at very fine granular scales.

Figure 1 shows a conceptual architecture for a sensor-based big data cyberinfrastructure consisting of three layers. The bottom layer is the sensor network layer, which senses and collects large sets of data in real or semi-real time. Above that is the middleware layer, which includes the engines for processing the collected big data sets. Previous “Blue Skies” departments provided details about the components of this layer. The top layer is the application layer, which includes a variety of applications depending on the specific use case and can range from precision agriculture to personalized healthcare. Here, we’ll concentrate on the middleware tools and technologies needed to facilitate efficient management of interoperations within the sensor network layer (highlighted in green in Figure 1). We’ll also highlight some of the research gaps related to, for example, deployment, interoperations, provenance, and data processing of sensor-based cyberinfrastructures.

Challenges
Today we can store and process large-scale datasets in the middleware layer using a mix of software and hardware resources offered by the advancement of cloud and big data technologies. However, these applications’ success critically depends on the integration of and access to information collected by sensors. We outline several key challenges in the design and implement next-generation sensor-based big data cyberinfrastructures.

The first challenge is the lack of tools to aid in the design of sensor-based data collection applications. Several key factors need to be considered when designing applications that acquire sensor data. For example, in precision agriculture, unforeseen soil variations (such as fertility, texture, pH, and water status) increase the statistical variance of an individual plant’s phenotypic measurements. It’s therefore difficult to come up with a sensor placement
strategy to accurately detect meaningful differences among biological treatments for different sensor types.

The second challenge is the lack of tools to aid in the deployment and monitoring of sensors. Continuous monitoring of sensors is essential to prevent data loss. In many cases, sensor data isn’t recoverable if the loss occurs because of inefficient caching and communication protocols. If the lost data is critical to understanding the performance of experimental applications (for example, crop yield within a field-based genetic test), the entire experiment can be lost for that year. For this reason, continuous monitoring and early detection and correction of failures are critical.

Another challenge is the heterogeneity in sensor capabilities and wireless link quality. Several issues related to communication protocols need to be addressed. For example, in precision agriculture, current sensors implement a myriad of communication protocols, and the wireless communication links are affected by weather and crop canopies. Another issue related to communication includes the design of energy-efficient protocols because batteries in sensors might not be easily replaceable.

The last challenge we’ll address is the lack of provenance and metadata tools for sensors. Provenance is essential for keeping track of which data was collected and/or aggregated for which sensors, and conveying contextual information about sensor deployment and life cycle. Conventional approaches require collection and transmission of large data volumes. We thus need new techniques that reduce the size and enhance the efficiency of provenance and metadata collection, recording, and transmission. Addressing these gaps requires developing cyberinfrastructures to automate the efficient collection, normalization, curation, measurement, and sharing of sensor data. The following sections elaborate on key requirements of such infrastructures as well as approaches and research directions to address some these requirements.

**Sensor Deployment and Management**

First, we need to develop techniques that can support sensor localization, which is achieved through georeferencing sensor data. Supporting tools are therefore needed for the automatic georeferencing of sensors.

Second, we need to develop suitable sensor placement techniques. For example, in precision agriculture, sensors are placed with the soil being measured to generate a soil property map of the entire field. Sensors are used to monitor the growth of crops, and different crops have different sensitivities to soil conditions and are planted at different densities. Therefore, to effectively place sensors, we must consider

- domain-specific knowledge,
- sensor failure and attack probability, and
- wireless link quality.

We also need tools to support optimal application-dependent sensor placement.

Third, we need mechanisms for optimal configuration of the duty cycling and sleep/wake scheduling of sensors. In precision agriculture, during a particular season some sensor data might be important and other data might not be, depending on the crop life cycle. Hence, the cyberinfrastructure needs to include a decision support system that can dynamically and minimally adjust the push/pull rates for each sensor, according to the real-time data processing needs. A reactive programming approach can let programmers define a set of rules for each sensor for how frequently and on which conditions data should be reported to the base station.

Fourth, we need techniques that will ensure robust communications under

---

**FIGURE 1.** Conceptual architecture of sensor-based data-intensive cyberinfrastructures. The architecture contains three layers: sensor network layer, middleware layer and application layer.
poor link quality. Wireless link quality changes over time due to seasonal changes in foliage size and extent; plant density variations; weather, wind, and moisture; and seasonal changes in crop canopy height and density. This can result in reliability degradation, redundant transmissions, and increased contention among node transmissions. We can exploit link correlation to eliminate the overhead of explicit control packets in networks with high correlation, and use network coding to pipeline transmission of multiple packets, attempting to utilize only a single timer per node for increased scalability.

Finally, we need mechanisms for continuously monitoring sensors to verify that they work as expected. Sensor monitoring is a complex task because multiple factors—calibration errors, environmental conditions, attacks, decay of sensor energy, and so on—can lead to sensor malfunctions. Different monitoring techniques might thus have to be combined and deployed, ranging from simple, such as profiling sensor baseline behavior, to complex, such as fine-grained diagnosis techniques for sensors.6

Sensor Provenance
Provenance of sensor data is critical in many applications because it

- plays a key role in assessing and ensuring data trustworthiness;
- aids in preventing data losses; and
- ensures the repeatability of scientific experiments and processes.

Provenance must be fine grained to be able to track which sensors acquired and/or transmitted specific data items. Provenance information should include location and time of the data acquisition as well as all processing steps executed on data, such as conversion, integration, and aggregation. These steps can be executed on different subsystems within the middleware, including sensor deployment and management systems, relational database management systems, stream-processing systems, distributed in-memory data stores, and NoSQL databases. The hard research challenge is to capture such provenance operations across multiple, heterogeneous big data processing technologies and subsystems. Finally, provenance must be secured to prevent provenance information from being tampered with or lost.

Provenance Model
An important step in the development of tools for managing provenance information is developing an expressive provenance model capable of representing the provenance of data objects with various semantics and granularity. Such a model should be able to capture data provenance in a structured way as well as to encapsulate the knowledge of both the application semantics and the system. At the same time, it should support provenance interoperability. Figure 2 shows one such model.7 This model addresses various requirements, including expressive power, flexible level of provenance granularity, and security, and is compatible with existing provenance standards.7

![Provenance Model](image)

**FIGURE 2.** Provenance model. The figure provides a graphical representation of a comprehensive provenance model. The key elements of the model are actors that execute operations on data restricted according to the access control policies.
of some system or user data. Thus, the operations generate or modify not only persistent data but also intermediate results or system configurations. Communication represents the interaction (such as dataflow) between two processes or between two operations in a process. Web services, user applications, and Unix processes are examples of processes; statements within an executable function or command line exemplify the operations; and dataflow, copy/paste, interprocess communication in Unix, and so on, represent the communication between operations or processes. An operation might take data as input and output some data. Each data object is associated with a lineage record that specifies the immediate data objects used to generate the data. Lineage is particularly helpful for producing a data object’s data dependency graph. Processes, operations, and communications are operated by actors, which can be human users, workflow templates, and so on. When data provenance is used to detect intrusion or system changes, knowledge of a user role or the workflow template might be helpful. Environment refers to the operational state, parameters, and system configurations that also affect an operation’s execution and the output data. This additional provenance information is crucial for understanding the performance of the operation and the nature of the output. In addition, the model supports the specification of granularity policies, allowing users to specify the level of detail for the provenance information to be captured and stored. It also includes, as part of provenance, information on the access control policies under which data have been accessed, which is crucial when investigating data breaches.

A relevant open research direction concerning the use of such a model within cyberinfrastructures for sensor management is the definition of services to be associated with the provenance data. To effectively use the provenance information, the model must support various types of provenance queries (on entity attributes, invocations, lineage, provenance, and so on). Historical dependencies as well as subsequent uses of a data object should be easy to track. If a data object is processed across multiple big data processing technologies and subsystems (see Figure 1), an administrator might want to see a high-level machine, system, or domain view of the provenance graph. In addition, to find relevant information from large provenance graphs, one should be able to filter, group, or summarize all or portions of the provenance graphs and generate tailored provenance views. Thus, the model should be able to distinguish the provenance generated from different systems and construct specialized views of provenance graphs.

**Provenance Transmission**

In a multihop sensor network, data provenance allows a base station to trace the source and forwarding path of an individual data packet from its generation. However, the limited resources and bandwidth constraints of the sensor nodes make collecting data provenance for each packet challenging. Lightweight data provenance schemes have been developed to address these limitations.8,9 In these schemes, data provenance is represented as a directed graph, where each vertex represents node’s provenance record and each edge the direction of data transmissions between nodes. One major issue with these schemes is that the provenance size increases with the number of nodes in the dataflow path. Therefore, we need lightweight provenance solutions for each data packet that don’t introduce significant overhead.
packets are routed in more than one node at a time, and providing secure sensor location information.

Sensor Security
Many scenarios of interest to sensor-based applications require assuring data integrity and confidentiality as well as authentication among different sensors and other parties. Sensors are vulnerable to malicious attacks such as impersonation, interception, capture, or physical destruction, due to their unattended operational environments and lapses of connectivity. To address security issues, encryption key management protocols need to be deployed. Current approaches, such as those based on symmetric key encryption, elliptic curve public key cryptography, and identity-based public key cryptography, suffer from one or more of the following drawbacks:

- high communication and storage overhead,
- vulnerability to impersonation and key compromise attacks,
- certificate management overhead and computational overhead for pairing operations, and
- inability to support mobility.

A novel pairing-free certificateless public key cryptography-based key management (CL-EKM) scheme for dynamic wireless sensor networks (WSNs) addresses the drawbacks of previous approaches. In certificateless public key cryptography (CL-PKC), a party’s full private key is a combination of a partial private key generated by a key generation center (KGC) and the party’s own secret value. The CL-EKM scheme supports the establishment of four types of keys for each sensor node:

- an individual key shared with the base station,
- a set of pairwise keys for each sensor node in the same sensor cluster,
- a cluster key shared among all the neighboring nodes.

However, we still need extensive experimental evaluations using different types of sensors and networks as well as encryption schemes that support data aggregation and processing at the sensor network level. Techniques for securing the software running on the sensors and for quickly responding to security incidents are also needed.

Sensor Streamed Data Processing Techniques
Two main strategies can be devised for processing the data acquired from sensors.

Data Warehousing
This approach involves shipping raw sensor readings from the sensor network to a central repository stored in the cloud for subsequent analysis. It’s most appropriate when all data needs to be kept and there’s sufficient energy to transmit the data outside the sensor network or collection. A number of open source data streaming tools are available to be used in this approach. These include Apache Samza, Esper, Spark Streaming, Apache Storm, and Apache S4.

In-Sensor Network Processing
This approach involves executing certain data operations in the sensor network itself and shipping outside the results of these operations. Examples include computing some simple aggregated functions, such as computing the maximum among a set of sensor readings and performing outlier detection. This approach is most appropriate in cases where energy saving can be gained by locally processing the data and data quality can be increased by locally implementing data cleansing actions. Clearly, both approaches need to be supported by cyberinfrastructures used depending on the requirements of specific applications. Approaches like Cougar, which provides in-network query processing, are needed for processing streaming data. Fog computing, another emerging technology that has potential to support in-network processing, is a virtualized platform located at the edge of the network that provides compute, storage, and network services between the end devices and the cloud datacenters. Its characteristics such as low latency, location awareness, support for large number of nodes, and widespread geographical distribution make it suitable for streaming and real-time applications, and thus represents a potential solution for in-network processing for sensor-based applications.

Cloud technology provides a foundation for emerging data-intensive applications. Cloud-based infrastructure services support the data life cycle from ingestion to analysis to storage. However, building cyberinfrastructure for such applications is still challenging. Here, we’ve discussed a few recent approaches and outlined open research directions. We believe that current technology trends in IoT and embedded systems will further push the need for cloud-based cyberinfrastructures for sensor-based applications.
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We’ve come a long way since the article in which Mark Weiser envisioned small, ubiquitous, connected computers that enhanced all aspects of our lives. Here, we present our analysis of the architectural leitmotifs that should be pursued so the Internet of Things (IoT) ecosystem can enjoy the staggering success of the Internet, which resulted in the World Wide Web. By success, we mean the economic value and the social and technological innovation these platforms have brought to the world.

THE IOT IS HERE
As with the Internet, it’s difficult to pin down the dimensions of value creation through the IoT because it is essentially a general-purpose platform. So, we’ll start by highlighting some examples of how the IoT already helps society in many different ways, through applications ranging in scope from the individual to the...
LESS IS MORE

Tech companies have generally pursued business models in which successful products and services are constantly updated. This translates to technology-rich environments where devices and services constantly compete for consumers’ attention; thus, technology tends to distract rather than add value. Will the addition of more networked devices add more screens to this cacophony? We have no doubt that IoT technology will profoundly impact our lives. If these devices are to fit into our lives comfortably, they shouldn’t require more screens or keyboards.

Figure 2 illustrates what our colleagues at Nest Labs—a home automation producer of programmable, Internet-connected thermostats, smoke detectors, and security systems—came up with when their CEO, Tony Fadell, asked them to envision the living room of the future. What's important is planetary (as shown in Figure 1), as well as across ventures in a variety of industries.

IoT ventures are rooted in and advance all kinds of professional spheres, including entertainment (for example, mixed-reality ventures like Magic Leap; www.magic Leap.com), science (such as scientific data sharing), education (for example, connected platforms like SAM Labs; http://samlabs .me), health (such as the smart contact lens developed at Google X), and civic innovation (for example, participatory smart city initiatives; https://smart citizen.me).

UNDERSTANDING THE CHALLENGES

Although we’re already reaping so many of the IoT's exciting benefits and anticipating much more from the promising forecasts of its future, mainstream users and organizations aren’t yet craving the majority of IoT devices and services. Indeed, some of its potential applications and complexities stir public fears over privacy and security risks—an aspect the media tends to revel in reporting about. Additionally, many IoT products have a level of complexity that limits their appeal for users unwilling to invest time and resources to learn to configure them.

However, its greatest limitation is arguably the lack of open standards, because the IoT’s growth will bring many incompatible IoT solutions. Even if standards are used, consumers are hesitant to pay a premium for IoT-enabled devices, particularly if these devices aren’t compatible with products and devices they already own. As many IoT products—such as home appliances and cars—have a product lifetime of more than a decade, consumers need to be confident that technical support and security updates are available long term.

what’s missing—where’s the collection of screens and keyboards? We might inter-
act with future devices through voice requests, gestures, or perhaps inputs me-
diated through smartphones.

As Weiser espoused, technology will (or should) fade into the back-
ground, supporting us in our private and professional lives in many subtle and
effective ways.

THE PROMISE OF GOOD IOT TECHNOLOGY

How can we guide technologists, en-
trepreneurs, and user-experience de-
signers to shift their perspectives? Let’s start by comparing current user
perceptions with the experiences we’d like to provide (see Figure 3).

When thinking about the IoT, we like the dualism of hard IoT versus soft
IoT—an idea put forward by Usman Haque in 2002.2 Hard IoT is tradition-
ally understood as a network of electronic gadgets, software, and sensors
that are connected so objects can collect and exchange data. In contrast,
soft IoT focuses on the value that can be derived from the collection of fluid
relationships among people, objects, and spaces.

The following three maxims can inform good IoT design:

› reimagine ordinary objects with the power of the Internet,
› foster ensembles of objects and services, and
› match relevant objects and services for genuine user benefit.

Reimagining ordinary objects
with the power of the Internet

How useful will objects be when they’re amplified by everything the Internet can do? Figure 4 shows how a traditional “offline” object is en-
hanced by being connected to the on-
line ecosystem.

Imagine a washing machine with the power of the Web (see Figure 5). Whereas
traditional washing machines have all the features they’ll ever have once
they’re installed in a home—they’re

Figure 2. Nest’s vision of the living room of the future. (Source: Nest Labs)
neither customizable nor aware of the resources they consume—a Web-enabled machine is able to acquire new safety or security features and services (for example, programs designed to clean innovative textiles, such as Google’s Project Jacquard [http://levistrauss.com/unzipped-blog/2015/05/google-levis-project-jacquard]). Connecting to cloud services and the Internet ecosystem enables the washing machine, for example, to link to other objects and build ensembles that complement and cooperate with one another.

In such configurations, Web-enabled appliances benefit from continuous machine learning, improving their understanding and consideration of context and enabling their access to ecosystem services (such as weather data), markets (such as placing an order to replenish washing detergent; www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1XOPlqyP7A [at 3:02]), and APIs and protocols that allow full cooperation with other devices. Thus, network-enabled objects embody smart behaviors that make them adaptive to new circumstances, more resource efficient, and generally user optimized.

**Fostering ensembles of objects and services**

Orchestration among an ensemble of objects can add to their usefulness and value—it facilitates rich, intuitively interactive or standardized environments. In the washing machine example shown in Figure 5, users can customize and save personal preferences via their smartphone. The machine can compare efficiency and learn about safety hazards from other machines, track usage and order replenishment of supplies, access knowledge bases to learn the most suitable programs for washing clothes, and find the best price for energy.

Although this is a very simplistic example, such IoT innovations can allow for resource conservation and energy efficiency in a scalable way. It follows that such efficiencies can be realized at a much larger scale, and in industrial IoT ecosystems that can result in huge cost reductions along with green efficiency benefits.

**Matching relevant objects and services for genuine user benefit**

So far we’ve considered mainly static actors, but the IoT’s true benefits will be felt when we connect contextually relevant objects to the right information and services.

Figure 6 shows how the IoT extends the information graph created by the Web, the social graph created by user-generated media, and the physical graph that links objects and their functionalities. Only when these three knowledge domains are combined can products and services be truly “smart.”

**PREREQUISITES FOR A GOOD IOT**

There are already many successful IoT products and services, and even some (limited) domain-specific ecosystems on the market. Nevertheless, we identify three areas that require significant R&D investment and cooperation before an ecosystem can emerge to universally interconnect all industries, people, and spaces (see Figure 7):

- data, access-control, and identity management;
- standardized and modular system architecture (including protocols and IoT schema); and
- new human–device interaction paradigms and techniques.
Importantly, in our assessment, all three areas are more likely to result from open peer-production scenarios as described by Yochai Benkler. This will lead to better standards that make it feasible for users to learn nuanced common practices that can be applied internationally across companies, product categories, and industrial and consumer ecosystems. For example, controlling devices with gestures or managing complex dataflows are two new areas where open standards would be beneficial.

**Data, access-control, and identity management**

In the Internet’s early development, user privacy and identity management—and security, to some extent—weren’t at the forefront of its inventors’ minds, and were only incorporated into the service network much later. User safety has also recently become deeply relevant as devices like cars and door locks become networked.
Figure 8. Embedding trust into the IoT.

We believe that a system architected from the ground up, with identity management and data ownership as core features, will better serve users in a world of networked things.

To do this, we’ll first need a solid identity management system. All IoT objects—such as door locks and cars—must have deeply ingrained, authority-based usage rights. Establishing preferred usage patterns (personalization) is fundamental, especially to reap the benefits of ensembles and spaces (in other words, to avoid constantly configuring and adapting settings). Because everything creates data, we’ll also need to clearly define its flow and ownership to allow for reasonable and effective storage and management.

The key to mainstream IoT acceptance lies in a decentralized, user-controlled system with strong data management and identity controls to elicit greater trust and adequate privacy. Security and safety can be handled mostly by service providers. Figure 8 illustrates the main requirements of a trust-generating identity- and data-management system.

Standardized and modular system architecture
The current IoT landscape is made up of individual solutions, or “walled gardens,” that offer special perks for customers who buy from the “product family.” Although the Internet was developed around open standards, AOL’s and CompuServe’s walled gardens were among the first of the Internet’s initial development and deployment experiments. We now know that the open ISP model provided superior services to customers, but this experiment needs to be repeated at the beginning of the IoT era.

We’re not dogmatic about openness, but it seems clear to us that the Internet’s success is based on the level playing field created by open standards and interoperability. A successful IoT ecosystem will allow start-ups, established small and midsize businesses (SMBs), and big companies to plug in and play a role in building viable products. For the IoT to become a mainstream success, the IKEAs, Holiday Inns, and Disneys of the world—along with all kinds of SMBs—must join the party and help foment ever more connected hardware and services.

Nearly 100 IoT consortia and standardization efforts are underway. We appreciate the competition to create the best system, but from a strategic perspective, it’s more desirable for the architecture of platforms, schemata, and protocols to be modular and for their core elements to be maintained by transparent meritocratic organizations dedicated to the public interest.

Particularly problematic for the mainstreaming of IoT products and services is the “app trap”: the tendency for each connected thing to develop and require its own smartphone application. We need to move away from this paradigm. Single objects or systems shouldn’t rely on smartphones as controllers, but should instead use common APIs so that various devices and programs can access and control them (given the right credentials). This will enable an ecosystem in which users interact with multiple devices through voice, gestural, and touch interfaces as devices share contextual information. Standardization will also improve efficiency by enabling competition and user choice for managing and controlling ensembles of devices.

New paradigms for user interface and interaction design
Last but not least, interacting with our connected “things” shouldn’t revolve around putting little touchscreens on all of them. Keyboards and mice aren’t an effective means to use and orchestrate the devices surrounding us. As neither of us is an expert in human–computer interaction or user-experience design,
we refrain from making assessments about these challenges and opportunities. Instead, we provide a few examples of nontraditional IoT interfaces in Figure 9.

It’s not possible in such a short article to comprehensively analyze the IoT with its multifaceted dimensions. We hope, however, that our analysis here might illustrate the IoT’s core potential and articulate some of the barriers to the adoption of a universal, mainstream IoT. As we strongly believe in openness and collaboration, we very much look forward to teaming up and building a good IoT with all of you.

Let’s expand the Internet’s success story in terms of permissionless innovation and level the playing field for all competing innovators.

Let’s promote an interoperable ecosystem based on open standards.

Let’s make identity, access-control, and data management an essential part of the technological architecture from the start of the IoT evolution.

Let’s take the Internet to the next physical level.
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Big Data has become an immanently important topic in many application areas such as smart cities, smart factories, the smart grid, or smart mobility. Gartner estimates that there are already 1.1 billion connected physical devices alone for smart cities in 2015, and expects this number to rise to almost 10 billion devices in 2020 (www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/3008917). These connected devices form the so-called Internet of Things (IoT).

Although the IoT enables new opportunities in different industries and application areas, its potential benefits are only realizable once important research questions in the field of Big Data have been answered. These questions range from data access, storage, discovery, analysis, or processing to reasoning on Big Data. Especially smart systems — such as smart cities and the IoT — require real-time processing capabilities (including stream processing) to provide value-added services. Although stream processing has been an active research area for several years, the topic is gaining momentum as the emerging IoT increases the amount of continuous streaming data (such as sensor data) to previously unknown levels.

IoT-based value-added services are triggered by sensor events or user requests, which lead to variable system loads being handled by stream processing systems. To cope with these challenges, a stream processing system must be elastic in terms of its processing capabilities.

Regarding elasticity, we consider three interdependent dimensions. The first dimension is quality elasticity. This deals with aspects such as the response time, the quality of a response for queries against streaming data, or the amount of successfully processed streaming data. If too much data must be handled by a stream processing system, this can lead to a reduction in service quality or to all non-processable data being dropped.

The second dimension is resource elasticity. It indicates that the amount of computational resources required to process streaming data must be adapted at runtime to cope with the current system load. Until now, most stream processing systems ran on fixed computational resources in one location, such as a data center, and could distribute the workload only among these fixed resources. In fact, systems with fixed resources could adapt to volatile data rates only by reducing the quality of service (QoS).

The third dimension is data elasticity. This means that data aren’t necessarily stored or processed locally, but rather in various remote data repositories in the Cloud. NoSQL approaches, such as MongoDB (www.mongodb.org), already envision the distributed storage of huge amounts of data, but until now, stream processing hasn’t applied these NoSQL concepts.

To take stream processing to the next level, resource elasticity is a prerequisite to maintain service-level agreements (SLAs), regardless of the system load. It’s also an efficient approach to elastically deal with huge amounts of stored data located in different geographical locations. In order to back up our claims, we provide a...
Elastic Stream Processing for Distributed Environments

Conceptual Overview
To cope with the changing resource requirements and different geographical locations of sensors as well as the stream processing nodes, we propose an elastic stream processing model for distributed environments. Our model is composed of multiple self-contained nodes (see Figure 1).

As we discuss in the sidebar “Related Work in Stream Processing,” in the past stream processing systems generally pursued a monolithic approach where a centralized component manages the communication and execution of stream processing operations. These operations range from filtering data, to querying sensor data for a specific time span, to customizing business logic (for example, transforming sensor data into other data formats).

Monolithic systems are deployed in one geographic location (on a cluster, for example), which eases the communication among the different processing nodes as well as the resource management for the processing operations. In the past, static stream processing scenarios with short and reliable communication paths successfully applied this centralized approach. Nevertheless, this approach is unsuitable for the IoT, because IoT scenarios are likely to evolve over time, in the sense that a smart city is constantly evolving. This leads to continuous changes of the IoT landscape, and therefore also to the stream processing infrastructure. To counter the IoT domain’s challenges, we must design an elastic stream processing model consisting of self-contained nodes that we can orchestrate during runtime.

The left side of Figure 1 shows an exemplary choreography based on a motivational scenario, and the right side presents one of the processing nodes in detail. Such a node is deployed on fixed computational resources (for instance, in a cloud-based infrastructure), where each node can autonomously allocate computational resources according to the data rates. To realize elastic scaling, the node can allocate additional resources — obtaining, for example, virtual machines (VMs) as child nodes — and then it can deploy processing operations on these VMs.

Besides the elastic data processing capabilities, each single node also maintains data storage (such as a database) to realize stateful operations (such as data aggregations) as well as a messaging infrastructure to receive data from sensors or preceding nodes. For the outgoing communication, the node further maintains a message buffer to ensure that no information is lost, if communication to succeeding nodes isn’t possible due to temporary technical failures or environmental aspects, such as communication dead spots. The node’s last component is the monitor, which records changing data rates over time to allow predictive reasoning for the identification of future computational resource requirements.

Open Research Challenges
Even though elastic stream processing builds on established principles and concepts, four important research challenges (detailed in the following) must be addressed to achieve widespread adoption. These topics include methodologies and algorithms as well as the software tools necessary to realize elastic stream processing for distributed environments.

Cost-Efficient Resource Provisioning
Although there has been much research toward the optimal deployment of stream processing operations on fixed resources, there are hardly any advances in leveraging the resource elasticity provided by cloud-based resources. Because the system load varies over time due to varying data rates, a stream processing system may run into over- or underprovisioning.
Internet of Things, People, and Processes

Mobility Domain Scenario

Our motivation for elastic stream processing originates from the omnipresent trend towards smart cities. Smart cities rely on numerous sensors that cover different aspects of the city’s management and interaction with citizens, such as smart energy, smart homes, and smart transport networks. These smart systems form a distributed environment. To demonstrate the challenges for stream processing, we consider an intelligent transportation system (ITS). The ITS is responsible for monitoring and directing public transport as well as managing related infrastructure, such as information displays. The ITS depends on different data sources—such as, GPS sensors mounted on public transport vehicles, or traffic information (such as induction loops measuring the number of cars driving by), or weather information.

Figure A illustrates the orchestration of the ITS’s different data sources and stream processing operations. Four value-adding services are depicted that are relevant for system users. The first two services represent simple services that notify the driver of a public transport vehicle about the latest traffic information. Each service consists of two stateless processing operations (see Figure A). The first operation filters traffic sensor data and the second operation propagates the information to the drivers.

More complex services, such as the update service for displays, obtain information from all four data sources, an additional operation (which calculates public transport vehicles’ movement speed based on GPS locations over time), as well as routing information. The routing information is obtained from a cloud-based data repository. Movement speed and sensor information are then forwarded to the prediction operation, which reasons on the data. This prediction operation is stateful, because it stores historic predictions over a longer time span to provide better prediction results.

Besides the operational components, the ITS also provides real-time routing information for public transport. Public transport users initiate routing queries and three stateless operations process each query to obtain the desired result for the user. The individual sensors’ data rates (the amount of information provided by the sensor at a specific point in time) changes throughout the course of the day, mainly because of the commuters’ different driving directions. These volatile data rates challenge a stream processing system to cope with varying resource requirements to process streaming data.

The stream processing system further obtains the information from different sensors and data repositories (such as roadmaps), which are located in different geographical locations. Because the data originate from different infrastructures, the data might be inconsistent across various sources: A GPS device reports a bus driving on a specific street while an induction loop on that same street detects a traffic jam.

When processing streaming data from various sources, it’s challenging to select the most accurate and reliable data source. In terms of cost and performance optimization, it’s desirable to process the data geographically from the closest location. This reduces the effort required, such as data transfer costs that are required to transfer streaming data.

This scenario provides a brief glance at services and challenges from the mobility domain. Similar use cases also arise in other domains, such as building automation for smart cities, which involves monitoring countless sensors and processing their data to ensure a safe and pleasant environment. We further envision similar challenges in other areas such as e-healthcare, where systems must process large amounts of data in real time to gain insight into a patient’s time-dependent information, such as heart rate or temperature.
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SLAs’ Data Stream Processing
Because quality elasticity represents one of the elasticity dimensions for stream processing, we must design appropriate SLAs to assess the QoS for elastic stream processing. Until now, stream processing only considered the latency of processed streaming data or the ratio of dropped streaming data in comparison to all streaming data.

Besides such basic SLAs, there are other areas that SLAs can cover: First, SLAs can be applied to queries on streaming data, making it possible to relax the result’s quality in a controlled manner by shortening the observation time span or omitting relevant query data.

Second, SLAs can be assigned to individual streaming data, such as privacy restrictions or stating a validity period. In some domains, the information provided by sensors is transient and the information may be outdated after a few seconds. Therefore, it’s possible to implement a context-sensitive load-shedding mechanism that discards all outdated data, instead of randomly discarding data to reduce the load.

Efficiently Placing and Migrating Stream Processing Nodes
Optimal placement of stream processing nodes is crucial for performance- and cost-efficient stream processing in the IoT. Different deployments are possible for stream processing nodes— for instance, on an embedded infrastructure with limited processing and
## Related Work in Stream Processing

Because data rates change over time—in some cases even drastically—processing this data in real time isn’t a straightforward task. To process streaming data, different stream processing systems such as Aurora, Borealis, MillWheel, or IBM System S provide solutions.

Early stream processing systems like Borealis originate from the database-management domain. From a high-level perspective, such systems extend the relational database model to support the continuous aspects of streaming data. The Borealis architecture considers different processing nodes—such as software components that process streaming information—to enable parallel data processing for large amounts of data. However, the architecture only considers quality elasticity in terms of load shedding to deal with load peaks.

More advanced stream processing systems such as IBM System S rely on efficiently processing different kinds of streaming data (such as financial or telecommunication data). Although the processing nodes support a distributed deployment (on a cluster, for example), IBM System S still maintains several centralized components, which manage monitoring, communication, and failure recovery. Besides research prototypes like Borealis and proprietary stream processing systems such as IBM System S, there are also community-driven frameworks like Apache Storm (https://storm.apache.org) or Apache S4 (http://incubator.apache.org/s4/). Both frameworks can process huge amounts of data for Web-based services, to process social media data, for example. The architecture of these frameworks is based on a central component that manages data flow. Even though these stream processing frameworks feature a cluster-based deployment, they don’t support resource elasticity for single processing nodes or an orchestration of processing nodes during runtime.

More recently, Benjamin Satzger and his colleagues proposed an elastic stream computing platform for the Cloud, which provides an easy-to-use programming model to leverage the resource elasticity of the Cloud to deal with volatile data rates. However, their prototype doesn’t address the challenges of accessing relevant data from different cloud infrastructures. Instead, they focus on elastically allocating computational resources in the Cloud for one static data stream processing application.

### References


Current advances in Semantic Web technologies represent one possible solution approach that could help define requirements on data and its representation. To achieve this, the level of description must be minimal to avoid overloading the stream processing system, which aims to manipulate light objects with only minimal operational overhead.

Based on current state-of-the-art stream processing and the future challenges we anticipate with the IoT, we identified several open areas for research in elastic stream processing for distributed environments. Although there’s room for improvement, elastic stream processing can handle the challenges issued by the IoT to realize smart systems.

---

storage capabilities next to the sensor; on clusters with fixed computational resources; or on a cloud infrastructure that provides unlimited computational resources and unlimited data storage.

Besides the volatile resource requirements, it’s also important to consider the communication channels between the different nodes’ locations, as well as relevant SLAs (for example, the maximum processing time for streaming data or the ratio of discarded streaming data). Different aspects form a nondeterministic polynomial time (NP)-complete problem for an optimal deployment solution in terms of costs, performance, and SLAs. Along with the optimal initial deployment, it’s important to investigate robust migration strategies for processing nodes. Because the IoT landscape is constantly evolving, we might need to migrate processing nodes from one geographical location to another during runtime. This requires a sophisticated migration strategy to ensure a consistent state for stateful stream processing nodes while maintaining continuous uptime and responsiveness.

### Data Elasticity

Optimal and efficient access to the data from remote data repositories is required, but this efficiency gain must not reduce the streaming data’s quality and availability. Because the data originates from geographically distributed as well as inconsistent or even conflicting data sources, we must develop methodologies for stream processing to consolidate the streaming data at runtime. These methodologies should be robust when data are noisy, uncertain, delayed, or (at worst) unavailable.
Elastic Stream Processing for Distributed Environments
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Turning Back the Clock

Maria R. Ebling, IBM T.J. Watson Research Center

Where was IEEE Pervasive Computing 10 years ago? What were our special issue topics, and what were the top papers from each of those issues? What were the burning issues, and where do those issues stand today? Let’s turn back the clock.

TEN YEARS AGO
In 2005, Pervasive Computing was only in its fourth year, a toddler. Mahadev Satyanarayanan, our founding father, was still at the helm.

Our January–March issue focused on “Energy Harvesting and Conservation.” Our top-cited article from this issue was Joseph Paradiso and Thad Starner’s “Energy Scavenging for Mobile and Wireless Electronics.” Satyanarayanan’s argument that “radical improvements in battery technology are unlikely” certainly rings true. Battery life remains an important issue. In fact, at our editorial board meeting this past June, we selected energy harvesting as a theme for an upcoming special issue.

Our April–June issue focused on “The Smart Phone.” Satyanarayanan observed that the most common pervasive device was the cell phone, and the issue examined what would come next. Now, 10 years later, that has become clear. Smartphones are, indeed, ubiquitous. They include both PDA capabilities and email access, but so much more with music, games, health and fitness, and the ability to manage membership cards. The only thing my smartphone does not yet manage is my keys, and I think that is only a matter of time. Our top-cited article from this issue was “ContextPhone: A Prototyping Platform for Context-Aware Mobile Applications” by Mika Raento, Antti Oulasvirta, Renaud Petit, and Hannu Toivonen. I would argue that this is pretty much a solved problem at this point, with mobile apps having access to a plethora of context information.

Our July–September 2005 issue focused on “Sports Technologies.” This issue examined the use of pervasive sensing in sports, from equipment, to athletes, to sports governance. With the Deflategate scandal from the American Football Conference (AFC) Championship Game earlier this year, it is clear that sensing in sports is not yet a solved problem. In fact, as with energy harvesting, our editorial board meeting identified sports and fitness as a theme topic for a future issue.

Our October–December 2005 issue focused on “Rapid Prototyping.” Our most-cited article was written by Feng Zhu, Matt Mutka, and Lionel Ni on “Service Discovery in Pervasive Computing Environments.” Looking at the literature, service discovery certainly remains a common topic for papers in mobile and pervasive computing, although service discovery has also made significant progress, such as the ease with which we can now connect headsets via Bluetooth or phones and laptops to Wi-Fi networks. Rapid prototyping, though not a solved problem, has also become easier. Just look at the progress people make during very short-term hackathons!

I hope you enjoyed this trip down memory lane as much as I did. I found it fascinating to see what we were doing 10 years ago, realizing just how far we have come! This year’s special issues have focused on “Privacy and Security,” “Smart Spaces,” “Digitally Enhanced Reality,” and “Pervasive Food.” Some of these topics could easily have been topics of interest a decade ago, and others I think are well beyond where we were back then, especially this issue’s focus on food.

IN THIS ISSUE
In addition to our theme articles on pervasive food, we also have two feature articles.
In “Classifying Text-Based Computer Interactions for Health Monitoring,” Lisa Vizer and Andrew Sears examine the differences in typing behaviors by older adults with and without early cognitive impairment. They look at typing speeds and pauses between keystrokes, as well as the complexity of the language used and the number of references to other people. Their results show a promising mechanism to identify (and potentially measure the progress of) cognitive impairment in older adults using a common, everyday task. If this mechanism bears fruit, we might have a way to routinely monitor cognitive impairment in a minimally invasive manner.

For our second feature article, Mitja Luštrek, Hristijan Gjoreski, Narciso González Vega, Simon Kozina, Božidara Cvetković, Violeta Mirchevska, and Matjaž Gams present “Fall Detection Using Location Sensors and Accelerometers.” In this article, the authors describe a system that uses wearable location sensors, enhanced with accelerometer sensors, to detect falls with surprising accuracy. Given the demographics of our aging population around the developed world, solutions such as this will prove important as we learn to help our elders (including, in many cases, ourselves) live independently longer and with fewer care providers.

We also have several interesting departments this issue. In our Smartphones department, Lori Flynn and Will Klieber from CERT discuss security issues as they relate to smartphones. They point out the amount of sensitive data, both personal and business, stored on smartphones and the need for vigilance. They examine all of the common smartphone platforms and operating systems. I strongly encourage you to take the time to read through this.

In our Conferences department, Ana Nika, Sofia Scataglini, and Sirine Taleb provide a fantastic overview of MobiSys 2015. Some interesting highlights include a keynote by Krishan Sabnani (Research VP at Bell Labs), a ring that detects typing on a non-existent keyboard from Shahriar Nirjon (HP Labs), and a joint session between WWW and MobiSys.

In our Innovations in Ubicomp Products Department, Lars Lischke, Dominik Weber, and Scott Greenwald observe that we now also have “boards” in widespread use and not just the “tabs” and “pads” from Weiser’s vision. As someone who does not pay much attention to the latest television technology, such as “Apple TV” and “Chromecast,” I enjoyed learning about the smart TV “boards” and their interactions with the “tabs” and “pads” of today. I also found the discussion of “Android Auto” an interesting foreshadowing for our upcoming special issue on smart vehicles.

Our Notes from the Community department looks at a wide variety of topics. I find the use of location technology by the NFL interesting, but I would have expected to find the technology in the footballs rather than on the players after last year’s “deflategate” scandal. I have to admit that I have a very negative reaction to the Jewelbots. Though middle-school girls might think they are very cool (and the Jewelbots might sell like hotcakes), the new opportunities for bullying are very real. I also found the discussion about Aibo being on the endangered species list to open an important topic of conversation. As we look at robots to be companions for our aging population, we really need to consider the ability of those seniors to adjust to new “companions.” Are there ways we could make the “personality” portable so that it can be moved into a new robot as the technology improves so that our senior citizens do not have to grieve the loss of another “friend”? I have mixed feelings about the “Do Not Fly Over” list. I appreciate the attempt to “do the right thing,” but I fear it will work about as well as the “Do Not Call!” list does with chimney sweep companies! These are but a few of the topics covered in this issue’s column.

Finally, in our Pervasive Health department, Michael Gonzales and Hanna Schneider provide a thorough overview of the Pervasive Health conference, which was held this past May in Turkey. From the keynotes to the technical sessions, it sounds like a wide variety of healthcare-related work was presented. I look forward to the day when some of these technologies prove successful enough to help patients in the real world.

Reviewing how far we’ve come makes me wonder where we’ll be in another 10 years. Will the vision of robotic companions help address the challenges surrounding an aging
population? Will autonomous cars be commonplace? Where will we be on energy generation and consumption? What device might displace the smartphone? My personal opinion is that we will make some pretty impressive progress on home robots and autonomous vehicles, in part because the demographics demand that we do. I fear that the battery issues will still be challenging us. For my generation, I expect a smartwatch could displace the smartphone or perhaps a smart “pin” (similar to Dick Tracy’s watch or the Star Trek tricorder). Only time will tell....

Maria R. Ebling is a director at the IBM T.J. Watson Research Center. She manages a team building systems capable of supporting a Smarter Planet while not forgetting about the people who use such systems. Ebling received her PhD in computer science from Carnegie Mellon University. She’s a member of the IBM Academy of Technology, a distinguished member of the ACM, and a senior member of IEEE. Contact her at ebling@us.ibm.com.
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Ask Your Doctor ... About Computers

Joseph November
University of South Carolina

Editor: Bradley Fidler

Do you ever get the feeling that your healthcare providers are decades behind the times when it comes to information technology? Does it trouble you that your credit score is instantly accessible around the country but your health record cannot even follow you around the block? If the answer to these questions is “yes,” then the time may be right to ask your doctor about computers. How might a chat with your doctor about computers go? What you hear will likely be mostly negative. “Doctors say electronic records waste time,” ran one US News & World Report headline, which was followed by the claim that “technology has slowed doctors’ work.”

As historians of computing, we have the resources not only to help the general public think more constructively about the roles of computers in medicine, but also to see how what is happening in medicine lays bare the great and small changes the use of information technology has brought to everyday life. More specifically, we can answer an important question that lies, in part, behind primary care physicians’ dislike of electronic records: How did we wind up with computers in most doctors’ offices but little communication between these computers?

Toward Electronic Health Records
Your physician’s chief complaint will likely include mention of the HITECH (Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health) Act and of Epic Systems. The HITECH Act, a major part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (aka The Recovery Act), mandated that the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) spend on the order of $25 to $45 billion to create a national, electronic network for medical records. American healthcare providers, ranging from large hospital systems to small private practices, were granted these HHS funds to start using computers to create an electronic health record (EHR) for each patient. For proponents of President Obama’s plan to provide health coverage for all Americans, an effective EHR was hailed as a means to cut costs while at the same time improving the quality of care. With the HITECH Act in place, Americans had, in principle, a mechanism to encourage (via generous grants) healthcare providers to go digital and punish (via steep fines) those who would not. Proving compliance, particularly to electronic security and privacy measures mandated by the act, can be a nightmarish process.

The most widespread EHR software the HITECH Act encouraged—or forced—physicians to adopt are products of the privately held Epic Systems Corporation, which developed software that manages the health records of most Americans (approximately 170 million people). For the purpose of bringing a given institution into compliance with the HITECH Act, Epic’s products have a reputation for getting the job done. Nevertheless, they are also notoriously expensive and ineffective when it comes to sending health records from one institution to another, even when both are using Epic software. Epic’s interoperability problems, coupled with the theme-park atmosphere at Epic’s “Intergalactic Headquarters,” generated fierce criticism of the company across the political spectrum and widespread mockery of its slogan “Do good. Have fun. Make money.”

By some measures, the carrot-and-stick approach of the HITECH Act worked. In 2008, less than one in five doctors in the US kept some form of electronic records. By late 2015 that proportion had risen to more than four in five. Hospitals have seen an even more dramatic rate of EHR adoption. In 2009, just 9 percent of hospitals used EHRs, whereas today that figure exceeds 75 percent. The clinic may have become home to a “strange tribe” of compulsive computer users who manufacture database records, but there is an odd limit to this activity. Health records, even if they are kept locally in entirely digital format, still are generally faxed or mailed between clinics. Furthermore, American patients simply do not have a centralized health record any care provider could access.

MUMPS in Your Medical History
The origins of this situation is not just or even mainly about protecting patients’ privacy—but 2016 most American adults have become accustomed to handling private personal information online. Instead, the answer lies in the history of computing. Epic and many of its counterparts are built around the Massachusetts General Hospital Utility Multi-Programming System (MUMPS), which was developed in the late 1960s. MUMPS is not so much a general-purpose programming language as it is a hierarchical database construction kit with a built-in programming language. For readers familiar with database architecture, MUMPS was NoSQL decades before the concept became trendy. Although MUMPS was much easier to learn than, say, Fortran or Cobol, it is a clunky tool. The kludges one can put together with MUMPS can provide highly functional solutions to particular data-management problems, but they cannot practically be ported as solutions to related problems and they generally do not interface well with other systems, even other MUMPS-based systems.
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The story of the development of MUMPS during the late 1960s is one of accommodation and displaced triumph. For the doctors at Mass General, where it was created, using MUMPS to create an EHR system tailored to their specific decision-making behavior, data needs, and institutional rules let them keep an electronic health record while making little compromise in terms of their authority or the way they worked. For the National Institutes of Health, which had funded Mass General’s early foray into computerized health records, MUMPS was seen as a tentative first step toward a grand vision of computerized, rationalized, centralized medicine. Starting in the 1960s, the NIH had hoped that by introducing computers to medical research and clinical care they could compel physicians to standardize their approaches to diagnosis and treatment.

During the 1970s and 1980s, MUMPS’ versatility as a tool to build custom-made databases was seized upon by the few hospital systems that computerized; they sought the speed and convenience of electronically keeping dynamic records but also sought to avoid the imposition of external standards on their operations. Thus, a MUMPS-based system built for one hospital system could not be useful for another clinic, which operated under different rules. Companies like Epic Systems got good at building such customized systems, continuing that activity to the present day. Even the company’s newest system built around InterSystems’ proprietary upgrade to MUMPS, Caché. Until recently, though, the EHR interoperability issue was thoroughly masked by the larger issue of the vast majority of care providers simply not using computers at all to create, store, and share records.

It may be tempting reduce America’s EHR problem to an infection of MUMPS. It is certainly easy to point out the flaws of MUMPS as an idiosyncratic programming language and then decry the anticompetitive behavior of entrenched MUMPS-peddlers like Epic and their shortsighted enablers in the federal government. But the heavy use of MUMPS has also been found in—and arguably helped to nurture—environments in which interoperability has come to be taken for granted. In finance, for instance, where regulatory mechanisms force much standardization, MUMPS flourished, though its name was changed to “M” for marketing and morale reasons. By the late 1990s, M enjoyed a reputation as reliable tool for the ACID (Atomic, Consistent, Isolated, and Durable) transaction processing that make a big part of the day-to-day life of banking and stock trading.

In Need of Standardization
So if MUMPS is not toxic per se, then what is the cause of America’s EHR illness? For Dr. Lawrence Weed, the answer is simple and ties back to his decades-long struggle to get physicians to think systematically about medical record keeping since the 1960s, when the \textit{New England Journal of Medicine} published his groundbreaking study, “Medical Records that Guide and Teach.” After 40 years, Weed’s study remains widely read but largely unheeded. The problem with health records, Weed discovered in the process of creating his own EHR system, PROMIS, was that it is impossible to make them consistent or even useful to doctors outside of one’s own clinic if there is no universally agreed-upon standard of care. Without this standard, several basic acts are impossible across clinical boundaries: deciding what goes into the record, determining which data are important, agreeing on whose opinion carries more weight, and building a mechanism to allow a record to be changed.

In the absence of a standard of care, Weed argued that an irrational “non-system” has emerged in American medicine. Imposing a vast computer network onto this non-system has not, so far, brought the rise in quality or the drop in the costs of medicine that many Americans had hoped for. Moreover, the near omnipresence of the computer has not realized the now-60-year-old dream of bringing strict rationality to medical decision making through physicians’ use of computers. If anything, making complex computer programs part of everyday medical life has brought even more absurdity to the non-system, which now has to accommodate the great expense of EHR software. Steven Teles’ “kludgeocracy,” to which Evan Hepler-Smith introduced \textit{Annals} readers, has crossed from metaphor into reality and is thriving there.

Given the deep divisions over the Obama Administration’s attempts to implement a single-payer healthcare system in the US, it is unlikely that Americans are going to collectively agree on a standard of care anytime soon. Weed’s non-system is likely here to stay. Whether information technology makes living with it more or less difficult will be a function of patients’ abilities to encourage healthcare providers to make responsible choices about working with computers.
Being sufficiently familiar with the history of computing to see past the rhetoric and hype surrounding medical computing would go a long way toward getting Americans to steer medicine in a more efficient, more humane direction.
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IT project failures are omnipresent. Let’s look at just a few global examples\textsuperscript{1,2}:

- The UK’s Home Office sank nearly 350 million pounds into a computer system that had to be scrapped in 2013.
- In 2014, the UK government reported that successful delivery of 41 of the 199 projects in its 500 billion pound portfolio was “in doubt.”
- The annual cost of failed US government IT projects is estimated to be as high as $20 billion (out of the $80 billion the US federal government spends annually on IT projects).
- The well-known HealthCare.gov Web portal was an IT disaster, with a “trauma team” needed to salvage the work.
- The US Air Force’s Expeditionary Combat Support System for consolidating more than 200 legacy systems spent $1.1 billion taxpayer dollars before being terminated.
- The National Program for IT in the UK failed terribly after 12.7 billion pounds was spent to create an online portal to allow citizens to access their personal health information (the project was four years late).
- A smartcard ticketing system for public transportation in Australia was implemented years late and went US$500 million over budget.

The list goes on and on—not to mention IT failures that recently took down United Airlines and the Wall Street Journal website, and halted the New York Stock Exchange for four hours.\textsuperscript{3} We might as well throw in the two US Office of Personnel Management (OPM) IT debacles in which 4.2 million individuals had their personnel data stolen in April 2015; then in July 2015, 21.5 million individuals had sensitive information stolen from the background investigation databases.\textsuperscript{4} What a mess!

Categorizing IT Project Failures

Most project managers, IT or otherwise, will claim that the “iron triangle” of scope, cost, and time seems to be the Holy Grail of projects (see Figure 1). IT projects often encounter these dilemmas, but we can categorize failures by looking at process-driven, context-driven, and content-driven issues.\textsuperscript{5}

Process-driven failures are those relating to business planning, project planning, project management and control, strategic formulation, and the change-management process. Content-driven failures relate to the information system itself as a technology, system design, and related technology issues. Context-driven failures relate to the environment in which the project is being developed, such as the firm’s culture, structure, top management style, internal communications, user involvement, absence of an agent of change, politics, and reactive versus proactive style for handling problems.

From Rateb Sweis’s research,\textsuperscript{5} we learn that IT projects may fail most, in priority order, due to a high degree of customization, changes late in the design stage, and underestimating the timeline. This seems to be consistent with most of the other IT project failure research in the field.

Here, I focus on context-driven issues overlapping with some of the process-driven ones.

Let’s see what context-driven issues result from a management...
perspective. One issue is organizations tending to start by picking the solution they like the look of, rather than fully evaluating the business case. Even though a thorough business case is conducted, there might sometimes be a business case that does not follow best practice and can be severely affected by managers wanting to influence the process of project approval (that is, “I want this project to happen” could outweigh the poor business case).

Another related context-driven issue deals with IT project complexity, and we are often very poor at handling complex tasks. Coupled with complexity could be the feeling that IT lacks the accepted ownership of the project.

Moreover, lessons might not be learned from other IT project failures. Perhaps applying some of the knowledge management tenets here for learning from others could be useful. A key context-driven issue also deals with producing a product but with no business outcomes evident. In this sense, IT might be delivering something that the business really doesn’t want or use.

**What Can Management Do?**

One suggested solution to these issues is to better educate current and future IT project leaders. In fact, the UK is already doing this by requiring its leaders of major projects to be further educated on how to better manage them. The Major Projects Leadership Academy was established in 2012 through a partnership with Oxford University and Deloitte (www.sbs.ox.ac.uk/programmes/execed/custom/our-clients/major-projects-leadership-academy). Already, more than 120 project leaders have graduated from the academy (with a further 200 enrolled).1

Another idea is to use a more structured approach to decide whether to sign off on a new IT program. The Danish government has deployed a business case modeling package to help decision makers better evaluate the decision-making process. Related to this, management should also perform reviews or project health checks in a structured way during all phases of the IT project.

As previously hinted, IT project managers and their staff should apply knowledge management principles to capture, share, and apply their knowledge from previous IT projects to new ones. NASA has the Academy for Sharing Knowledge and Lessons Learned Information System/NASA Engineering Network, through APPEL (Academy for Program/Project Engineering Leadership), which allows NASA project managers to share lessons learned in project management, systems engineering, risk management, and other relevant areas (http://appel.nasa.gov).

F

inally, better coordination between business users, IT, and the finance department can help ensure that the IT project will be designed, developed, and implemented within scope, time, and cost. Without this close collaboration, the “field of dreams” may become just an empty field!
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IEEE Computer Society 2016 Call for MAJOR AWARD NOMINATIONS
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Finding the Internet of Things–Related Job You Want

For this ComputingEdge issue, we asked Jaroslaw Domaszewicz, an assistant professor in Warsaw University of Technology’s (WUT’s) Faculty of Electronics and Information Technology and its Institute of Telecommunications, about career opportunities related to the Internet of Things (IoT). Domaszewicz’s research interests include the IoT, pervasive computing, middleware, and the interaction between people and smart objects, and he has led WUT’s team in a number of European Union projects in these areas. He is knowledgeable about pervasive-computing careers, especially those involving the IoT.

ComputingEdge: What careers in pervasive computing, as it relates to the IoT, will see the most growth during the next several years, and why?

Domaszewicz: In my opinion, the greatest career growth in pervasive computing and the IoT will occur in two areas: semantics and user interaction.

Interoperability between independently developed and deployed things and applications is a key prerequisite to realizing the IoT’s vision. Currently, many sense-and-react applications are hardwired by the programmer to use specific sensing and actuating resources. The IoT’s vision, on the other hand, is that applications should be able to discover new things, sensors, actuators, and data at runtime, and take advantage of them afterward. Semantic models and machine-interpretable semantic descriptions are what make such interoperability possible. Currently, there are a number of initiatives to develop semantic models for the IoT. I believe there will be a need for professionals who are knowledgeable in both fundamental semantic technologies and the dynamic landscape of IoT resource-description standardization efforts.

Meanwhile, as the IoT pervades human environments, users will be able to interact with more and more services. Today’s typical user already engages with many smartphone and tablet applications. There are concerns, though, that the growing amount of human–machine interaction might distract users and harm their productivity and well-being. Thus, IoT-enabled services and smart objects must be designed so that user interaction is intuitive and nonintrusive. Most likely, there will be a growing need for professionals who are knowledgeable in the related fields of user-centered design, user-experience design, and usability evaluation.

ComputingEdge’s Lori Cameron interviewed Domaszewicz for this article. Contact her at l.cameron@computer.org if you would like to contribute to a future ComputingEdge article on computing careers. Contact Domaszewicz at domaszew@tele.pw.edu.pl.
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COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS: Write, update, & maintain computer programs, review programs. Requirements Gathering, Data Mapping, Data Conversion, Report Generation, SDLC methodologies, Version Control Tools, Mainframe,XML, Unix, SQL Server, Oracle, Java/J2ee/Spring/Struts/WebSphere/Apache, Waterfall/Agile methodologies. Will work in unanticipated locations. Req. 2 yrs experience. Send resume to NobleSoft Solutions, Inc. 405 Executive Dr. Langhorne, PA 19047

SR. PROGRAMMER sought by Ratan Palace LLC, North Bergen, NJ. Req. MS or equiv in Comp. Prgm or any rltd field to support hotel info processing needs. Resume Attn: Nehal Gandhi, Ratan Palace LLC, Holiday Inn Express, 2600 Tonnelle Ave, North Bergen, NJ 07047, or email: HIEXPRESSNB@GMAIL.COM

Oracle America, Inc. has openings in Portland, OR for:

SOFTWARE DEVELOPERS

Job duties include:
Design, develop, troubleshoot and/or test/QA software. May telecommute from home.

Apply by e-mailing resume to cecilia.broadaway@oracle.com referencing 385.16954.

Oracle supports workforce diversity.

Juniper Networks is recruiting for our Sunnyvale, CA office:

Strategic Delivery Manager, Sr. Staff #35314: Build a strong engagement and interlock between Juniper’s engineering organization and customers’ planning organization to drive evolution of key platforms, architectures and solutions.

Software Engineer Senior Staff #17486: Plan and write detailed design documents for large software systems, and develop complex software programs in C, Python and TCL.

Software Engineer #30134: Architect, design and develop Linux and FreeBSD based device drivers. Develop embedded applications to manage various platform components (ASICs, temp/voltage monitors) using C and C++ object oriented design.

Test Engineer #10840: Develop, modify, and execute testing methods for Routing protocols and SDN for upcoming new features and functionality in Junos (Company’s routers operating system).

Sustaining Engineer #35053: Troubleshoot, debug, and replicate complex and unique technical issues. Analyze customer issues escalated from support engineering.

Technical Support Engineer #7108: Provide technical support and high level expertise to customers on Company products.

Business Operations Analyst #33416: Analyze complex business problems and issues by leveraging prior training in data mining, SQL databases, and data science (Python, R, visualization tools) to establish company-wide big data analytics.

Juniper Networks is recruiting for our Westford, MA office:

Technical Support Engineer #36011: Support Secure Routing products, working directly with customers and partners. Work with highly knowledgeable group of customers and act as an escalation point for other TAC groups within the organization.

Mail single-sided resume with job code # to
Juniper Networks
Attn: MS A.8.429A
1133 Innovation Way
Sunnyvale, CA 94089
Microsoft Corporation currently has the following openings (job opportunities available at all levels, including Principal, Senior and Lead levels):

**Hardware Engineers, Electrical Engineers, Design Engineers (all levels, including Leads and Managers):** Design, implement and test computer hardware. Requires Domestic and International travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Hardware_Dev_Eng) (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Electrical_Eng)

**International Project Engineers/Managers:** Plan, initiate, and manage information technology (IT) projects that enable the delivery of products/services that meet customer expectations and needs in each international market. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Intl Proj_Eng)

**Machine Learning Scientists:** Conduct research and lead research collaborations that yield new insights, theories, analyses, data, algorithms, and prototypes and that advance state-of-the-art of computer science and engineering, as well as general scientific knowledge. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Research)


**Solution Managers:** Identify and analyze internal client and partner business needs, and translate needs into business requirements and value-added solutions and solution roadmaps. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_IT_Solution Mgmt)

**Solutions Sales Professional/Specialist:** Enhance the Microsoft customer relationship from a capability development perspective by articulating the value of our services and solutions and identifying competition gaps in targeted accounts. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Solution_Sales)

**Support Engineers / Escalation Engineers:** Install, configure, support and troubleshoot issues related to Microsoft technologies. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Support_Eng)

**Architect, MCS:** Develop & deliver Microsoft solutions aligned to stakeholder needs. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4782/go/job)

**Audio Implementer:** Work w/ Audio Director and other audio team members, animators, tools team, designers, artists, outsourcing studios, other leads from various disciplines to architect the audio content implementation pipeline, including the ways to improve/automate the current one. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4872/go/job)

**Consultant (all levels):** Deliver design, planning, & implementation services that provide IT solutions to customers & partners. Requires domestic and international travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Technical_Delivery)

**Content Developer/Engineer:** Responsible for the design, development, deployment, vi- sion, and business strategy for content creation, acquisition, production, editorial, and publishing activities at Microsoft. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Content_Publishing)

**Data Scientists:** Manipulate large volumes of data, create new and improved techniques and/or solutions for data collection, management and usage. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Data_Applied_Science)

**Design Verification/Validation Engineers:** Responsible for ensuring the quality of Microsoft hardware products. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Hardware_Design_Verification_Eng)

**Designers:** Develop user interface and user interaction designs, prototypes and/or concepts for business productivity, entertainment or other software or hardware applications. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Design)

**Evangelists/Technical Evangelists:** Collaborate with sales teams to understand customer requirements, promote the sale of products, and provide sales support. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Tech_Evangelist)

**Game/Systems Designers:** Create design documents for multiple major features on large projects and the entire design on smaller projects, ensuring consistency of design. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Game_Design)


**Hardware Dev., Test or Design Engineers, Artists and Art Directors:** Responsible for designing and creating art assets that meet or exceed industry standards for quality while supporting Microsoft Game Studio (MGS) business goals. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Art)

**Consultants:** Deliver design, planning, and implementation services that provide IT solutions to customers and partners. Requires domestic and international travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Technical_Delivery)

**Consultants:** Deliver design, planning, and implementation services that provide IT solutions to customers and partners. Requires domestic and international travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Technical_Delivery)

**Evangelists/Partner Evangelists:** Collaborate w/ sales teams to understand customer requirements, promote the sale of products, & provide sales support. Requires dom. and intl travel up to 25%. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4768/go/job)

**Licensing Sales Specialist:** Implement licensing solutions across product, Online & service offerings. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4896/go/job)

**Product Engineer:** Design, implement & test computer hardware products that add strategic value to the company. Requires intl. travel up to 50%. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4866/go/job)

**Security Analyst II:** Design service specifications that meet business requirements by analyzing solution priorities & service or system processes. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4901/go/job)

**Premier Field Engineer:** Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires dom. and intl travel to be performed at various worksites throughout the U.S. Telecommuting permitted. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4872/go/job) (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4787/go/job) (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4812/go/job)

**Premier Field Engineers:** Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires dom. and international travel up to 25%. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4768/go/job)

**Premier Field Engineer, Platforms:** Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires dom. and intl travel to 25%. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4768/go/job)

**Premier Field Engineer, SQL:** Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires domestic travel up to 50%. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4896/go/job)

**Premier Field Engineer, SQL:** Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires domestic travel up to 50%. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4896/go/job)

**Premier Field Engineer:** Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires dom. and travel up to 25%. (https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4872/go/job)
**CAREER OPPORTUNITIES**

**TEMPE, AZ**
Premier Field Engineer, Platforms: Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires travel up to 75% with work to be performed at various unknown worksites throughout the U.S. Telecommuting permitted. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Hardware_Dev_Eng

Mountain View, Palo Alto, Sunnyvale, CA

**PALO ALTO, CA**
Designer II: Develop user interface & user interaction designs, prototypes &/or concepts for business productivity, entertainment or other software or hardware applications. Requires dom. & intl. travel up to 25%. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4858/job

**FARGO, ND**
Technical Advisor: Provide technical advice & support on issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires dom. travel up to 25%. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4842/job

**CHARLOTTE, NC**

**MAHWAH, NJ**

**NEW YORK, NY**
Consultants: Deliver design, planning, and implementation services that provide IT solutions to customers and partners. Requires domestic and international travel up to 25%. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Technical_Delivery

Researchers/Scientists: Conduct research and lead research collaborations that yield new insights, theories, analyses, data, algorithms, and prototypes and that advance state-of-the-art of computer science and engineering, as well as general scientific knowledge. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Research


**MOUNTAIN VIEW**
Technology Solutions Professional: Engage w/ strategic customers to solve their business needs by conceiving, designing, & implementing transformative technical architecture. Requires domestic travel up to 25%. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4812/job

**PALO ALTO, CA**
Premier Field Engineer, Platforms: Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Requires travel up to 75% with work to be performed at various unknown worksites throughout the U.S. Telecommuting permitted. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Hardware_Dev_Eng

**IRVING, TX**

**IRVINE, CA**
Account Technology Strategist: Identify & analyze internal clients & partner business needs and translate needs into business requirements & value-added solutions & solution roadmaps. Requires travel up to 25% w/ work to be performed at various worksites throughout the U.S. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4773/job

**HOUSTON, TX**
Technical Account Manager: Assist in the sales strategy & execution. Requires travel throughout the U.S. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4870/go/job

**IVIRNE, CA**
Premier Field Engineer, SQL: Provide technical support to enterprise customers, partners, internal staff or others on mission critical issues experienced w/ Microsoft technologies. Telecommuting permitted. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4925/job

**NEW YORK, NY**

Multiple job openings are available for each of these categories. To view detailed job descriptions and minimum requirements, and to apply, visit the website address listed. EOE.
SVC ARCHITECT (NY, NY & unanticip clnt sites thru US) Write specs for prod feat & dsgrn & create soltns to build & dply processes. Dsgrn, dvlp, implmnt & mnge & dsgrns & create soltns to build & dply sites thru US. Write specs for prod feat.

CHICAGO/DOWNERS GROVE, IL

Solutions Sales Professional/Specialist: Enhance the Microsoft customer relationship from a capability development perspective by articulating the value of our services and solutions and identifying competition gaps in targeted accounts. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Solution_Sales

Cloud Solution Architect: Architect software, platform, services, hardware or technology solutions. Requires travel up to 25% with work to be performed at various unknown worksites throughout the U.S. Telecommuting permitted. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4804/go/job

Solution Specialist, Platform: Enhance Microsoft customer relationship from capability development perspective by articulating value of services & solutions & identifying competitive gaps in targeted accounts. Requires travel up to 25%, https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4850/job

Multiple job openings are available for each of these categories. To view detailed job descriptions and minimum requirements, and to apply, visit the website address listed. EOE.
Microsoft Corporation currently has the following openings (job opportunities available at all levels, including Principal, Senior and Lead levels):

**Business Program Managers:** Plan, initiate, & manage technology and business projects. Requires dom. and Intl. travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Ops_PM) (Any or related & 5 yrs exp in job &/or Bach deg or for equiv CS, Math, Engg & designs & create solutns to build & dply sites thru US) Write specs for prod feats to articulate value-added solutions & solution roadmaps. Must be able to travel up to 50%. https://jobs.microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4873/go/job

**Hardware Engineering Program Manager:** Coordinate program development of hardware products or systems, working w/ development & product planning teams. Requires dom., regional & Intl. travel up to 50%. https://jobs.microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4753/go/job

**Program Manager, NPI:** Coordinate program development of computer hardware products, working w/ engineering & product sourcing teams. https://jobs.microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4869/go/job

**Sr. Program Manager:** Coordinate program development of computer software applications, systems or services, working with development and product planning teams. Telecommuting permitted. https://jobs.microsoft.icims.com/jobs/5545/go/job

**Operations Program Managers:** Plan, initiate, and manage information technology (IT) projects. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Ops_PM)

**RENO, NV**

**Operations Program Managers:** Plan, initiate, and manage information technology (IT) projects. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Ops_PM)

**MOUNTAIN VIEW, PALO ALTO, SUNNYVALE, CA**

**Program Managers:** (All levels, including Leads and Managers) Coordinate program development of computer software applications, systems or services working with development and product planning teams. Requires Domestic and International travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_ProdQlty_Supp) (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_IT_ProgMgr)

**Program Managers:** (All levels, including Leads and Managers) Coordinate program development of computer software applications, systems or services working with development and product planning teams. Requires Domestic and International travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_ProdQlty_Supp) (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_IT_ProgMgr)


**Hardware Engineering Program Manager:** Coordinate program development of hardware products or systems, working w/ development & product planning teams. Requires dom., regional & Intl. travel up to 50%. https://jobs.microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4753/go/job

**Program Manager, NPI:** Coordinate program development of computer hardware products, working w/ engineering & product sourcing teams. https://jobs.microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4869/go/job

**Sr. Program Manager:** Coordinate program development of computer software applications, systems or services, working with development and product planning teams. Telecommuting permitted. https://jobs.microsoft.icims.com/jobs/5545/go/job

**FT. LAUDERDALE, FL**

**Operations Program Managers:** Plan, initiate, and manage information technology (IT) projects. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Ops_PM)

Multiple job openings are available for each of these categories. To view detailed job descriptions and minimum requirements, and to apply, visit the website address listed. EOE.
Microsoft Corporation currently has the following openings (job opportunities available at all levels, including Principal, Senior and Lead levels):

Microsoft
REDMOND, WA
Research Software Development Engineers (all levels): Responsible for conducting applied research into new products and services through software engineering techniques. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Research Software_Engineer

Software Engineers and Software Development Engineers in Test (all levels, including Leads and Managers): Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. Requires domestic and international travel up to 25%. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_SDE) (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_IT_SDE)

Software Engineers and Software Development Engineers in Test (all levels, including Leads and Managers): Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_SDE) (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_IT_SDE)

Embedded Software Engineer: Design, implement & test embedded computer software that adds strategic value to the company. Requires dom. and int'l. travel up to 25%. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4843/job

Senior Software Development Engineer: Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. Requires travel throughout the U.S. up to 25% w/ work to be performed at various un

known worksites throughout the U.S. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4860/job

Software Engineer II: Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. Telecommuting Permitted. Position allows employee to reside anywhere in the U.S. & telecommute to perform work exclusively from home. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4868/go/job

MOUNTAIN VIEW, PALO ALTO, SUNNYVALE, CA
Research Software Development Engineers (all levels): Responsible for conducting applied research into new products and services through software engineering techniques. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Research Software_Engineer

Software Engineers and Software Development Engineers in Test (all levels, including Leads and Managers): Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. Telecommuting permitted. http://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4846/job; https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4845/job

SAN DIEGO, CA
Sr. Software Engineers: Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. Requires dom. and int'l. travel up to 100%. Telecommuting permitted. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4846/job; https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4845/job

HOTWIRE, INC. currently has openings for the following opportunities in our Bellevue, WA office (various/levels/types):

Data Scientists: (728.1344) Develop or apply mathematical or statistical theory and methods to collect, organize, interpret, and summarize numerical data to provide usable information. Travel to various unanticipated sites throughout the U.S. required. Send your resume to: Hotwire/Expedia Recruiting, 333 108th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA 98004. Must reference position and Job & Job ID# listed above.

HOTWIRE, INC. currently has openings for the following opportunities in our San Francisco, CA office (various/levels/types):

Software Engineers: (728.SWE-APR-HW) Design, implement, and debug software for computers including algorithms and data structures. Technical Product Managers: (728.TPM-APR-HW) Gather detailed business requirements from stakeholders and work closely with technology staff to translate requirements into functional designs and specifications. Send your resume to: Expedia Recruiting, 333 108th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA 98004. Must reference position and Job & Job ID# listed above.

EXPEDIA, INC. currently has openings for the following opportunities in our San Francisco, CA office (various/levels/types):

Software Engineers: (728.SWE-APR-SF) Design, implement, and debug software for computers including algorithms and data structures. Database Developers: (728.DBD-APR-SF) Coordinate changes to computer databases, test and implement the database applying knowledge of database management systems.

Technical Product Managers: (728.1686) Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. Telecommuting or domestic travel up to 100%. Permitted. Position allows employee to reside anywhere in the U.S. & telecommute to perform work exclusively from home. https://jobs-microsoft.icims.com/jobs/4868/go/job

NEW YORK, NY
Research Software Development Engineers (all levels): Responsible for conducting applied research into new products and services through software engineering techniques. http://bit.ly/MSJobs_Research Software_Engineer

DURHAM, NC
Software Engineers and Software Development Engineers in Test (all levels, including Leads and Managers): Responsible for developing or testing computer software applications, systems or services. (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_SDE) (http://bit.ly/MSJobs_IT_SDE)

Multiple job openings are available for each of these categories. To view detailed job descriptions and minimum requirements, and to apply, visit the website address listed. EOE.
to design technical solutions and provide detailed specifications that satisfy scalability, reliability, and performance goals. Managers, Software Engineering (728.SWE-APR-CAR) Manage Agile software development phases of initiatives across Hotwire's travel platform. Specifically, ensure proper technical design of applications and quality standards are upheld. Send your resume to: Hotwire/Expedia Recruiting, 333 108th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA 98004. Must reference position and Job & Job ID# listed above.

CARRENTALS.COM, INC. currently has openings for the following opportunities in our San Francisco, CA office (various/levels/types): Software Engineers (728.SWE-APR-CAR) Design, implement, and debug software for computers including algorithms and data structures. Send your resume to: CarRentals/Expedia Recruiting, 333 108th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA 98004. Must reference position and Job & Job ID# listed above.

ORBITZ WORLDWIDE LLC currently has openings for the following opportunities in our Chicago, IL office (various/levels/types): Software Engineers (728.SWE-APR-ORB) Design, implement, and debug software for computers including algorithms and data structures. Send your resume to: Orbitz/Expedia Recruiting, 333 108th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA 98004. Must reference position and Job & Job ID# listed above.

COMPUTERS: TECH MAHINDRA (AMERICAS) INC. is seeking to fill numerous IT positions. Prgm Mgrs to oversee & manage mult. IT projects, proj. planning, dvpmnt, implementation, acct & delivery mgmt; Proj. mgrs to oversee & manage IT teams w/dvpmnt of various s/wtare apps. Sys. Analyst/Programmers/Quality & Tech Architect/ Tech Solutions Architect/Quality Engg/Test Eng/Software Eng., software Developer/Systems Admin/DBAs/DB Architect/Network Engg./Network Admin/to analyze, design, dvlp, test & maintain comp software apps, systems, databases, or networks through all phases of s/wtare dvpmnt lifecycle (S/wtware Eng. may also lead a team on various projects); Telecom solutns Architect/Application Architects/Electrical Eng to use various technologies to dsgn & dvlp telecom software apps. Mech. Eng (CAD/CAM) to design, develop, validate & perform structural calculations, product improvement, & provide tech. support to design teams at high levels utilizing specific mech. tools. Sales Eng/Bus. Analyst/Mgmt Analyst for solutions/pre-sales activities w/rel ev industry experience. IT Bus. Dev. Mgrs to create new business, negotiate contracts & dvlp proposals for customized IT solutions. Rel. Mgrs to manage/outsources commercial IT/Eng. deals, monitor & maintain existing accts. All Tech/Mgrial. positions require a BS or BS degree or foreign equiv. in CS, Comp Apps, CIS, IT, Eng. Bus mgmt/admin or closely related fields and relevant industry exp. Sales/Rel.Mgrs. require a BS or BS degree or foreign equiv. in Bus. Admin. Eng. or closely related field & rel ev. Industry exp. Positions are based out of corp. HQ in 4965 Preston Park Blvd, # 500, Plano, TX 75093 & subject to travel & relocation to client sites located throughout the U.S. Mail resume & position applied for w/JOB CODE: 04IE16 to Visa Cell, Tech Mahindra (Americas) Inc., 1001 Durham Avenue, Suite 101, South Plainfield NJ 07080.


Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences Faculty Positions

The Institute of Computing Technology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (hereafter ICT) is the cradle for China's computer profession, having spun off many academic institutions and hi-tech companies. They include the Institute of Microelectronics, Computing Center, Institute of Software Computer Network Information Center, all of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, as well as hi-tech companies such as Lenovo and Dawning.

ICT holds firmly to the new-era guidelines of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, focusing on fundamental, strategic and advanced research. It aims to becoming a leader in computing technology, being concerned with the national interest and people's livelihood, and leading Chinese IT industry.

For more information, visit www.ictp.ac.cn.

ICT is seeking candidates for faculty position in Computing technology areas—including but not limited to high performance computer, Big data and social computing, Computer architecture, New computer system, Integrated circuit design, Compiling and programming, Intelligent perception calculation, Multidisciplinary researches on life science and social science, Intelligent information processing.

Qualifications:

Ph. D in Computer Science, Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Communication, or closely related field;

Compensation and Benefits:

1) The salary and benefit package are highly competitive, commensurate with experience and academic accomplishment.
2) The candidates with strong background are eligible for applying for 1,000 Talents Plan (China) "100 Talents Program (CAS)."

Application Materials:

CV; supporting documents such as certifying your highest degree, academic achievement/awards, and the current employment etc; 3 representative papers.

Contact information
hr@ict.ac.cn, Phone:86-10-62601207

CLASSIFIED LINE AD SUBMISSION DETAILS:
Rates are $425.00 per column inch ($640 minimum). Eight lines per column inch and average five typeset words per line. Send copy at least one month prior to publication date to: Debbie Sims, Classified Advertising, Computer Magazine, 10662 Los Vaqueros Circle, Los Alamitos, CA 90720; (714) 816-2138; fax (714) 821-4010. Email: dсимс@computer.org.

In order to conform to the Age Discrimination in Employment Act and to discourage age discrimination, Computer may reject any advertisement containing any of these phrases or similar ones: "...recent college grad..." "...1–4 years maximum experience..." or "...10 years maximum experience...
Computer reserves the right to append to any advertisement without specific notice to the advertiser. Experience ranges are suggested minimum requirements, not maximums. Computer assumes that since advertisers have been notified of this policy in advance, they agree that any experience requirements, whether stated as ranges or otherwise, will be construed by the reader as minimum requirements only. Computer encourages employers to offer salaries that are competitive, but occasionally a salary may be offered that is significantly below currently acceptable levels. In such cases the reader may wish to inquire of the employer whether extenuating circumstances apply.
CAREER OPPORTUNITIES


DATA WAREHOUSE DESIGNERS MULTI- PLE POSITIONS AVAILABLE IN ENFIELD, CT. Analyze and translate complex designs into unit tested and maintainable code. Design components of an application, the overall operating system, or complex sub-systems such as sophisticated file management routines. Design and develop new features and applications using Data Warehouse and Extract Transform and Load (ETL) tools including Teradata, Informatica, and Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC). Apply: L Sawtelle, Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company, 1295 State Street, Springfield, MA 01111; Please Reference Job ID: 708202200

ELECTRICAL GEODESICS, INC. seeks to hire a Global Support Scientist for its office in Eugene, Oregon. Define Software Requirement Statements in biotechnology, including EGI technology; communicate research findings and present on EGI products; analyze technology needs; provide input to improve EGI’s biotechnology. Mail resume to: Electrical Geodesics, Inc., 500 E. 4th Avenue, Suite 200, Eugene, OR 97401. Attn: Carrie Baltzer

MANAGER. Job location: Miami, FL & any other unanticipated locations in U.S. Travel Required. Duties: Manage client engagements incl. creating work plans detailing project tasks, milestones, duration, & resource allocation for financial services clients using MS Project. Develop effort estimations for project tasks using WBS (work breakdown structure), & size based estimation approaches.

Coord. with offsite & onsite teams int’l for delivering IT services. Interface with clients for solution implement. activities incl. leading requirements definition using tools such as PowerPoint & Excel, & testing & defect mgmt. activities using tools such as Qual. Ctr., Windows Test Tech. (WTT), & Product Studio. Create process flow diagrams with MS Visio for documenting current state processes & showing future state recommends. Analyze opps. for optimizing IT cost by reviewing oper. processes & related apps. Present recommends. & related roadmaps using tools such as Excel, Power Point & Visio. Requires: Master’s degree in Comp. Sci, Bus. Adm., Eng. or related field & 3 yrs. exp. in job offered or 3 yrs. exp. as a Sr. Consultant, Software Eng., Prg/Analyst or Specialist. Will accept B.S. (or foreign equiv.) & 5 yrs. exp. in the comp. ind. in lieu of M.S. & 3 yrs. exp. Concurrent exp. must incl.: 3 yrs. exp. creating process flow diagrams with MS Visio; 3 yrs. exp. presenting recommends. & roadmaps; & 3 yrs. exp. using WBS, WTT & Product Studio. Send resume (no calls) to: Michelle Ramirez, The Hackett Group, Inc., 1001 Brickell Bay Dr., Suite 3000, Miami, FL 33131

Oracle America, Inc. has openings for

TECHNICAL ANALYST positions in Lehi, UT.

Job duties include: Analyze user requirements to develop, implement, and/or support Oracle’s global infrastructure. As a member of the IT organization, assist with the design, development, modifications, debugging, and evaluation of programs for use in internal systems within a specific function area.

Apply by e-mailing resume to paul.merideth@oracle.com, referencing 385.19389.

Oracle supports workforce diversity.

LinkedIn Corp. has openings in our

Senior Information Security Manager (6597.980)

San Francisco, CA location for:

Design, develop & integrate cutting-edge software technologies.

Please email resume to: 6597@linkedin.com. Must ref. job code above when applying.

Oracle America, Inc. has openings for
Ericsson Inc. has openings for positions of:

ENGINEER – SOFTWARE _ in IRVINE, CA to manage operational relationship with the customer’s regional organization & ensure regional RF key performance indicators are achieved. Job ID: 16-CA-451.

INTEGRATION ENGINEER _ to work both in MIAMI, FL and SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO and deliver services within site engineering, configuration, integration, customize software adaptation and support of products and networks in accordance with customer service contract. Job ID: 16-FL-1717.

INTEGRATION ENGINEER _ in OVERLAND PARK, KS to define architecture in multiple IT/ OSS domains and provide solutions or designs based on the architecture. Job ID: 16-KS-641.

PROJECT MANAGER (FIBER OPTICS) _ in PLANO, TX to manage & drive project planning & end to end delivery of complex fiber-optic deployments. Job ID: 16-TX-1302.

ENGINEER-SERVICES SOFTWARE _ in PLANO, TX to perform system verification & implementation engineering duties in the Business Support System & Intelligent Network group focusing on installation. Up to 20% domestic travel is required. Job ID: 16-TX-3012.

ENGINEER-SERVICES SOFTWARE _ in PLANO, TX to perform software loading, configuration, integration, verification, and troubleshooting of existing solutions on a customer site or in a lab environment using company products, 3rd party, or customized packages. Up to 33% domestic and/or international travel required. Job ID: 16-WA-1541.

ENGINEER – SERVICES RF _ in PLEASANTON, CA to perform radio network design, RF tuning, optimization for high capacity wireless. Job ID: 16-CA-354.

ENGINEER-SYSTEM _ in SAN JOSE, CA to lead feasibility & architecture studies on software features; conduct reviews & ensure software architecture is in line with the system. Job ID: 16-CA-1253.

ENGINEER-SYSTEM _ in SAN JOSE, CA to analyze complex customer requirements and propose technical and competence developments solutions in new areas and domains required to enhance customer’s competitive position. Up to 70% International Travel Required. Job ID: 15-CA-1490.


ENGINEER – SOFTWARE _ in SAN JOSE, CA to plan, develop, & execute system test plans, including system performance & longevity measures. Job ID: 16-CA-974.

ENGINEER – SOFTWARE _ in SAN JOSE, CA to design, develop, and execute functional test plans for next-generation edge routing platform. Job ID: 16-CA-3179.

ENGINEER – SOFTWARE _ in MANCHESTER, NH to ensure concepts and product designs satisfy user needs and goals through research and the synthesis of information. Requires up to 5% domestic and/or international travel. Job ID: 16-NH-3425.


SOLUTION ARCHITECT _ in PLANO, TX to perform software loading, configuration, integration, verification, and troubleshooting of existing solutions on a customer site or in a lab environment using company products, 3rd party, or customized packages. Up to 33% domestic and/or international travel required. Job ID: 16-TX-3012.

SOLUTION ARCHITECT _ in PLANO, TX to provide expert business & sales support for selected deals & manage sales projects. Job ID: 16-TX-175.

SOLUTION ARCHITECT _ in PLANO, TX to provide expert business & sales support for selected deals & manage sales projects. Job ID: 16-TX-175.

SOLUTION ARCHITECT _ in PLANO, TX to provide expert business & sales support for selected deals & manage sales projects. Job ID: 16-TX-175.

SOLUTION ARCHITECT _ in PLANO, TX to provide expert business & sales support for selected deals & manage sales projects. Job ID: 16-TX-175.

SOLUTION ARCHITECT _ in SAN JOSE, CA to design, develop, & execute functional test plans for next-generation edge routing platform & performance benchmarking of the various platform functions. Job ID: 16-CA-3507.


ENGINEER – SOFTWARE _ in SAN JOSE, CA to plan, develop, & execute system test plans, including system performance & longevity measures. Job ID: 16-CA-974.

ENGINEER – SOFTWARE _ in SAN JOSE, CA to design, develop, and execute functional test plans for next-generation edge routing platform. Job ID: 16-CA-3179.

ENGINEER – SOFTWARE _ in MANCHESTER, NH to ensure concepts and product designs satisfy user needs and goals through research and the synthesis of information. Requires up to 5% domestic and/or international travel. Job ID: 16-NH-3425.

SOLUTION ARCHITECT _ in ATLANTA, GA to design, develop and define service assurance solutions in Telecom domain. Up to 30% domestic and/or international travel is required. Job ID: 16-GA-3159.

To apply please mail resume to Ericsson Inc. 6300 Legacy Drive, R1-C12 Plano, TX 75024 and indicate appropriate Job ID.
APPLE INC. has the following job opportunities in Cupertino, CA:

Engineering

Systems Design Engineer (Req#9FFQVG). Perform RF parametric qual & req’s adherence eval on Apple products. Travel req’d 25%.

Operations Engineering Program Manager (Req#9MXLTM). Supp new prod dev & ensure contract manuf readiness for extmly high vol prod. Travel req’d 35%

SiP Strategic Sourcing & Early Engineering Program Manager (Req#9F-CR3B) Perf industry-level strat financial analysis for SiP sourcing. Travel 30%

Hardware Development Engineer (Req#9TCPHW). Des, dev, & integrate cutting edge display tech for the iPhn/Apl Watch prod lines.

ASIC Design Engineer (Req#9KYRCH). Respons for perform tuning, correlation, & verif for low-pwr high-perf microprocessor sys.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#TP4N2). Dev, support, and upkeep large scale dist. podded apps and servers to maintain 24/7 availability.

Technical Support Engineer (Req#9CWSP5). Supp the org for all Eng teams (iTunes) & serve as the main pt of contact for emerging issues.

Systems Design Engineer (Req#9PRMYQ) Des & dev internal SW tools & systems. Gather SW & sys reqs from HW egrs.

Hardware Development Engineer (Req#A3D43X). Analyze audible noise from products for the purpose of optimizing customer experience. Travel req 20%

Software Algorithm QA Engineer (Req#9HK2VN). Drive SW quality & integration for customer experience. Execute testing of embedded SW & algorithms.

Software Development Engineer (Req#A6M45V) Design and develop software for Siri.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9F62D8) Devlp tst case, triage, track issues until resolution & maintain & execute automtin setup.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9CYU7Q) Prfrm manual & automated tstng of Apple’s dvlpr tools SW, dsgn & implmnt tстng infrstrctre.

Systems Design Engineer (Req#9T66PY). Dev and opt RF aut sys used on Apple’s newest prod includng iPhones, iPods, iPads & others. Travel req’d 15%

Firmware Engineer (Req#9EW2L2). Dsgn & dev low level SW, drivers & test interfaces for Apple’s mobile prod. Travel req’d 20%

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9MCTX3) Dsgn & Dvlp complex, highly available, & scalable SW syss.

ASIC Design Engineer (Req#9J9P7T). Resp for New Product Introduction (NPL) and mass production needs.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9TNRA4V) Implement test cases using C-based frameworks.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9TEUBZ) Optimize OS SW to improve product battery life.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9EHVVP). Create iOS apps such as iTunes U, Podcasts, & iTunes Movie Trailers.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9Z23L6) Dsgn & dvlp innovative SW for map syssyts. Create flows & sys arch.

Systems Design Engineer (Req#9FMUJ) Eval the pre-release & latest iPhone & iPad HW/SW syss on various wireless tech. Travel req. 50%

Software Development Engineer (Req#9V6V25) Des specific algrthms for audio apps.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9F4V3N). Dev, des & implement architecture for sw components.

Firmware Engineer (Req#9D64HA) Dsgn, dev & support diagnostic SW for Apple Mac dsktp & laptop prod, focus on GPU (Graphics Process Unit), TCON (Timing Controller) & displ panel dgnstc SW.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9T73TX) Dev subscriber identif module (SIM) server sw for device activation & enablement.

Software Engineer Systems (Req#9LZVEK) Implement, benchmark, prototype, & optimize computer vision algorithms.

Software Development Manager (Req#9E52YC). Des and dev SW for supporting a Comp Vision and Machine Learning proc pipeline.

Senior Network Engineer (Req#A4S43H) Build & main Apple’s Data Center Network Infrastructure.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9HAULX) Dvlp commnctns protocols bwn Maps clients & srvces.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9A65N3) Dsgn, dvlp, & spprt intrnl tools & srvcs that help measre, imprve, trck, & automate our dvlpmnt, tстng, & spprt.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9TPQH2) Dev test plans & perform func testing for cell feats.

Software Development Engineer (Req#A4C42A) Respon for design, dev, & execution of auto tests for perf benchmarking & stress testing of apps, HW, & operating syst.

Hardware Development Engineer (Req#9H3U39). Design & dev touch HW solutions. Travel req 20%

Software Development Engineer (Req#9DPUST). Dev & run auto tst scripts to analyze pwr consumption of HW & SW subsy.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9YV36H). Des & dev automation framework for the Wireless SW Eng team.

Hardware Development Engineer (Req#9GUVG). Des & dev sol’s to characterize, quantifty & measure image qual of digi camera sys’s.

Engineering Project Lead (Req#9E23DW) Res for SW deliv across multi wireless tech in supp of the HW manufacturing lifecycle for Mac, iPhone, iPad, and accessory
Software Development Engineer (Req#9WXR91U) Res for app life cycle from prototyping to release to mass mkt as well as road map & release planning of user facing app, incl prototyping dsgn, dev, tsgng & doc of custom-made solutions.


Software Engineer Applications (Req#9RU43Q). Dev new & existing Android apps for consumer media.

ASIC Design Engineer (Req#9CYTXN). Design test logs & generate test patterns for app processors.

Software Development Engineer (Req#09K2NQ8). Des & dev tools, automation, and tsgnst infrast for iOS Accessories.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9ZS23U). Research, des, dev, impl & debug embedded SW for wirel ess ntwrk in next gen prods

Hardware Development Engineer (Req#9MT2B2). Des, dev, tsg, & supervise the manuf of high-perf camera lenses for mobile dev. Travel req 20%

Hardware Development Engineer (Req#9PQTW3). Des & valid optcal sensor sys for consumer electronic products. Travel req 15%

Software Engineer Systems (Req#9W9SU5) Des, dev & config ntwrk’g sys HW & SW.

Information Systems Engineer (Req#9U9T58) Provide sol, sup & main on infrast of the integrat plat rel to supply chain logis & legal.

Product Design Engineer (Req#9QT- SUZ). Des & dev HW interconn solutions.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9DCT5)). Contribute to curr & future app tech.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9P9Q2GL) Build SW & systms to bring about infrast & apps through automation.

Network Systems Manager (Req#9D- NUU7). Resp for network ops within Apple’s global data centers.

Mechanical Design Engineer (Req#9A63KF) Set priorities & determine risk mitigation plans using an understanding of techn details of cross-func issues & risks in mncfct. Travel req: 30%.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9ZA64R) Res for the end to end quality of the Thai Siri exp. Prfrm tech device tests of Siri in Thai.

Software Quality Assurance Engineer (Req#9YGUBV) Tst full agile life cycle SW of MS Office & iWork Cmptbly for OSX, iOS, & iCloud pltfmr.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9N7T2Q) Contri & bld Apple’s future wireless prdcts by tsgnst Bluetooth for iOS devices. Travel req 15%

Software Development Engineer (Req#9NKTOB) Resp for the sgn & dvlpmnt of SW for the Siri srvr pftfmr.

Engineering Project Lead (Req#9T2T5Z) Dev & plan review of proj spec’s for new maps geo-special feats for mobile dev’s in area of points of interest & businesses.

Business Systems Analyst (Req#9F-PVH). Resp for complex, global iniatives in procurement & materials

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9QY2T9). Gather bus reqs, provide GAP analysis & complete code des and dev for the MFi App & the integ partners of the MFi App

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9Q3R3Y). Des, dev, impl, & maintain web services for the Apple build team.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9VPNPZ). Dev server SW for device activation & enablement.

Software Engineer Applications (Req#9EP3KS) Design & dev distributed sw to power iCloud apps & srvcs.

Engineering Project Lead (Req#9TV3ZG). Work with dsign & cross functn team to select, evaluate & qual new supplrs. Travel req’d 30%.

Software Development Engineer (Req#9FH2TH) Dsgn & dev a digtal personal assist for mobile devices.

Apple Inc. has the following job opportunity in Austin, TX:

ASIC Design Engineer (Req#9F7QCM) Resp for the pre-silicon RTL verification of to level embedded graphics cores

Refer to Req# & mail resume to Apple Inc., ATTN: L.J., 1 Infinite Loop 104-1GM, Cupertino, CA 95014. Apple is an EOE/AA m/f/disability/vets.

Apple Inc. has the following job opportunity in Cupertino, CA:

Production Designer (Req#9K5Q88) Compose elegant, det digital layouts for web, email comm, in-store interactive kiosks, and other proj with art direction from the IC Team.

Interested applicants must submit a portfolio that demonstrates skills required. Please enclose a self-addressed stamped envelope if you wish your portfolio to be returned. Refer to Req# & mail resume to Apple Inc., ATTN: L.J., 1 Infinite Loop 104-1GM, Cupertino, CA 95014. Apple is an EOE/AA m/f/disability/vets.
SanDisk Corporation has openings for the following positions in Milpitas, California:

**Design Engineers (Job code: SD-DS316):** Design, develop, modify and evaluate electronic parts, components or integrated circuitry for electronic equipment and other hardware systems. Determine design approaches and parameters.

**Device Engineers (Job code: SD-DV316):** Design, develop, modify and evaluate digital electronic parts, components or integrated circuitry for digital electronic equipment and other hardware systems. Determine design approaches and parameters.

**Product Engineers (Job code: SD-PD316):** Provide production support engineering for a specific product or group of products after transfer from design to high volume production. Interface with design, process, test and reliability engineering to solve problems.

**Firmware Engineers (Job code: SD-FW316):** Analyze, design, program, debug, troubleshoot and/or modify software for firmware (IC embedded code) applications. Conduct programming activities involving analog and digital hardware and software operating systems.

**Industrial & Operations Engineers (Job code: SD-IO316):** Responsible for the development of estimated or engineering standards, optimum process layouts and methods. Respond to shifting priorities and business needs by identifying, developing, and promoting new technologies, methods and processes.

**Verification Engineers (Job code: SD934):** Participate in the logic design and verification of memory components and develop test bench architecture.

**Systems Design Engineers (Job code: SD578):** Design, define and implement complex system requirements for customers and prepare studies and analyze existing systems. Some travel may be required to work on projects at various, unanticipated sites throughout the United States.

**Managers I, Supply Chain Optimization (Job code: SD127):** Responsible for design, development and implementation of supply chain process improvements and system changes.

**Verification Engineers (Job code: SD269):** Perform Standard Cell Library development involving schematic development, verification, characterization, model development and library delivery.

**Software Engineers (Job code: SD69):** Define, implement, and execute benchmark testing to meeting product requirements while working within NAND memory performance limitations.

**System Design Engineers (Job code: SD213):** Validate features including writing up a test plan and cross referencing it to individual requirements.

**Senior Staff Process Integration Engineers (Job code: SD467):** Provide production support engineering for NAND product after transfer from design to high volume production. Must be available to work on projects at various, unanticipated sites throughout the United States and abroad.

**Business Systems Analysts (Job code: SD385):** Understand technology infrastructure and build workable web and mobile solutions. Some travel may be required to work on projects at various, unanticipated sites throughout the United States.

**Technical Rotation Engineers (Job code: SD471):** Responsible for working closely with several cross functional teams related to design, device, firmware, test, marketing, quality and manufacturing teams to define/develop/maintain a product test flow.

**Positions in San Jose, California:**

**Senior Software Development Engineers (Job code: SD433):** Develop software for application accelerating solutions. May telecommute from home.

To apply, reference job code # & mail resume to: SanDisk Corporation, 951 SanDisk Drive, MS: HRGM, Milpitas, CA 95035. EOE
TECHNOLOGY

Intuit Inc.

has openings for the following positions in Santa Clara County, including Mountain View, California or any office within normal commuting distance:

Software Engineers (Job code: SW316): Apply software development practices to design, implement, and support individual software projects. Work on problems of moderate scope and complexity where analysis of situations or data requires a review of multiple factors of the overall product and service. Review product requirements and architecture to understand and implement software projects. Senior Software Engineers (Job code: SSW316): Exercise senior level knowledge in selecting methods and techniques to design, implement, modify and support a variety of software products and services to meet user or system specifications. Work on problems of complex scope where analysis of data requires evaluation of multiple factors of the overall product and service. Analyze and synthesize a variety of inputs to create software and services. Staff Software Engineers (Job code: STSW316): Apply master level software engineering and industry best practices to design, implement, and support software products and services. Evaluate the most relevant factors and exercise independent judgement in the creation, design, implementation or modification of software and services. Act as a technical lead for complex projects.

Software Engineers in Quality (Job code: SWQ316): Apply best software engineering practices to ensure quality of products and services by designing and implementing test strategies, test automation, and quality tools and processes. Work within a moderate scope, covering a range of technologies and level of complexity where analysis of situations or data requires a review of multiple factors to ensure quality of the overall product or service. Review product requirements and architecture to create and implement quality engineering requirements. Senior Software Engineers in Quality (Job code: SSWQ316): Apply senior level software engineering practices and procedures to design, influence, and drive quality and testability of products and services. Work within complex scope, covering a range of technologies and level of complexity where analysis of situations or data requires a review of multiple factors to ensure quality of the overall product or service. Exercise judgment in application of methods and procedures to ensure quality products and services.

Principals (Job code: I-266): Lead teams that seek to identify and realize new growth opportunities for Intuit. Define the highest-priority opportunities from an array of attractive options, motivate and organize direct and virtual teams to execute rigorous analysis. Product Managers (Job code: I-314): Design and bring to market revenue generating, customer-driven software products and services that deliver great customer experiences. Senior Technical Data Analysts (Job code: I-959): Leverage technical skills, business acumen, and creativity to extract and analyze massive data sets, build analytics-ready datasets to surface insights and key business metrics.

Staff Applications Operations Engineers (Job code: I-1843): Support a lively and fast paced group with monthly agile releases. Participate in all operational aspects of Production and Pre-Production environments. Product Managers (Job code: I-938): Bring to market new Application Programming Interfaces (API’s) based on the data in payroll. Application Operations Engineers (Job code: I-2151): Develop highly scalable, secure and efficient software that support critical functions of Intuit’s engineering operations and/or Intuit’s leading commercial software products.

To apply, submit resume to Intuit Inc., Attn: Olivia Sawyer, J203-6, 2800 E. Commerce Center Place, Tucson, AZ 85706. You must include the job code on your resume/cover letter. Intuit supports workforce diversity.
Intuit Inc.

has openings for the following positions in Woodland Hills, California:

**Software Engineers in Quality (Job code: I-1081):** Apply best software engineering practices to ensure quality of products and services by designing and implementing test strategies, test automation, and quality tools and processes. **Senior Application Operations Engineers (Job code: I-59):** Write installation scripts or programs to promote software from development environments through test environments and into production environments, ensuring that each environment is correct and consistent. **Senior Software Engineers (Job code: I-108):** Exercise senior level knowledge in selecting methods and techniques to design, implement, modify and support a variety of software products and services to meet user or system specifications.

Positions located in San Diego, California:

**Senior Data Engineers (Job code: I-2326):** Gather functional requirements, developing technical specifications, and project and test planning. Help to align overall strategies and reconcile competing priorities across organization. **Software Engineers (Job code: I-971):** Apply software development practices to design, implement, and support individual software projects. **Staff Software Engineers in Quality (Job code: I-51):** Design and develop new software applications, services, features and enhancements and maintain existing software products. **Staff Application Operations Engineers (Job code: I-419):** Apply a full understanding of the business, the customer, and the solutions that a business offers to effectively design, develop, and implement operational capabilities, tools and processes that enable highly available, scalable & reliable customer experiences.

Positions located in Plano, Texas:

**Senior Software Engineers in Quality (Job code: I-149):** Apply senior level software engineering practices and procedures to design, influence, and drive quality and testability of products and services.

To apply, submit resume to Intuit Inc., Attn: Olivia Sawyer, J203-6, 2800 E. Commerce Center Place, Tucson, AZ 85706. You must include the job code on your resume/cover letter. Intuit supports workforce diversity.

Facebook, Inc.

currently has the following openings in Menlo Park, CA (multiple openings/various levels):

**Global Support Engineering Manager (3590J)** Lead & manage a local team that helps developers build engaging & social applications using Facebook Platform. **Technical Program Manager (6387J)** Lead the development of products to support the Infrastructure Engineering org., whose responsibilities include the growth, management & 24x7 upkeep of the Facebook web site. **Product Specialist, Community Operations (5178J)** Responsible for monitoring the quality & stability of Facebook’s products. **Product Manager (6845J)** Engage in product design & technical development of new products. **Production Engineering Manager (5328J)** Direct a team of engineers across different time zones to analyze & maintain Company’s service stability by documenting policies & best practices in daily, weekly, & annual-based operations. **Product Quality Analyst (7225J)** Triage, investigate, & prioritize issues with the ads products & manage relationships with sales & support teams around product quality. **Partner Engineer- Internet.org (5313J)** Handle technical integrations with Mobile Operators to optimize Facebook mobile user experience. Responsible for planning, specification, implementation, testing & roll-out of the integration plan & specify the integrations. Position requires fluency in Spanish or Brazilian Portuguese & international business travel to unanticipated worksites approx. 50% of time. **Network Engineer (3357J)** Design, deploy, implement, & support one of the world’s largest & most complex networks. **BI Platform Engineer (6942J)** Design, maintain, & administer business intelligence platforms by extending the functionality of Facebook BI applications by integrating them with other internal tools & expose features as APIs.

**Facebook, Inc.** currently has the following openings in Seattle, WA (multiple openings/various levels):

**Research Scientist (2537J)** Multiple openings and various levels. Research, design, and develop new optimization algorithms and techniques to improve the efficiency and performance of Facebook’s platforms.

Mail resume to: Facebook, Inc. Attn: SB-GIM, 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park, CA 94025. Must reference job title & job# shown above, when applying.
Oracle America, Inc.

has openings for

SOFTWARE DEVELOPERS

positions in Orlando, FL.

Job duties include: Analyze user requirements to develop, implement, and/or support Oracle's global infrastructure. As a member of the IT organization, assist with the design, development, modifications, debugging, and evaluation of programs for use in internal systems within a specific function area.

Apply by e-mailing resume to kiran.chivukula@oracle.com, referencing 385.18391. Oracle supports workforce diversity.

Oracle supports workforce diversity.

Oracle America, Inc.

has openings for

SOFTWARE DEVELOPERS

positions in Nashua, NH.

Job duties include:

Design, develop, troubleshoot and/or test/QA software.

Apply by e-mailing resume to jack.wang@oracle.com referencing 385.15284.

Oracle supports workforce diversity.

Oracle America, Inc.

has openings for

QA ANALYSTS

positions in Frisco, Texas.

Job duties include: Develop, apply and maintain quality standards for company products with adherence to both internal and external standards. Develop and execute software test plans. Analyze and write test standards and procedures.

Apply by e-mailing resume to katherine.hagedon@oracle.com, referencing 385.10592. Oracle supports workforce diversity.

Oracle supports workforce diversity.

Oracle America, Inc.

has openings for

TECHNOLOGY

Oracle America, Inc.

currently has the following openings in

San Mateo County, including Redwood Shores, CA; Alameda County, including Pleasanton, CA; San Francisco, CA; Santa Clara County, including Santa Clara and San Jose, CA; and other locations in the San Francisco Bay Area. Some positions may allow for telecommuting.

Database Administrators (DBA316): Provide enterprise-wide, database administration support for production systems and provide DBA services to application development teams, including database design, database generation, coding, and database production support.

Hardware Developers (HWD316): Evaluate reliability of materials, properties and techniques used in production; plan, design and develop electronic parts, components, integrated circuitry, mechanical systems, equipment and packaging, optical systems and/or DSP systems.

Product Managers (PM316): Participate in all software and/or hardware product development life cycle activities. Move software products through the software product development cycle from design and development to implementation, testing, and/or marketing.

Software Developers (SWD316): Design, develop, troubleshoot and/or test/QA software.

Applications Developers (APD316): Analyze, design develop, troubleshoot and debug software programs for commercial or end user applications. Write code, complete programming and perform testing and debugging of applications.

Technical/Programmer Analysts (CSA316): Analyze user requirements to develop, implement, and/or support Oracle's global infrastructure.

Consultants (TCONS316): Analyze requirements and deliver functional and technical solutions. Implement products and technologies to meet post-sale customer needs. Travel to various unanticipated sites throughout the U.S. required.

Sales Consultants (TSC316): Provide presales technical/functional support to prospective customers. Design, validate and present Oracle's software solutions to include product concepts and future direction. Travel to various unanticipated sites throughout the U.S. required.

Software Developers (TSWD316): Design, develop, troubleshoot and/or test/QA software. Travel to various unanticipated sites throughout the U.S. required.

Applications Developers (TAPD316): Analyze, design, develop, troubleshoot and debug software programs for commercial or end user applications. Write code, complete programming and perform testing and debugging of applications. Travel to various unanticipated sites throughout the U.S. required.

Submit resume to applicant_us@oracle.com. Must include job#. Oracle supports workforce diversity.
One day in March 2007, economist Dmitry Dey was watching a Discovery Channel documentary about pyramids, mummies, and tombs at his home in Kostanay, a city of 217,000, in northern Kazakhstan. An enthusiast of archaeology, Dey speculated that long-forgotten pyramids might also have risen from the flat, empty steppe around Kostanay. To satisfy his curiosity, he trawled satellite imagery via Google Earth.

As New York Times reporter Ralph Blumenthal recounted in a news story he wrote last year, Dey didn’t find pyramids. Instead, he discovered several ancient earthworks. The largest—known as the Ushtogay Square—consists of 110 mounds arranged in a $286 \times 286$ m$^2$ square with diagonals connecting the corners.

Since Dey’s discovery, archaeologists have visited Ushtogay Square and other sites. Given the absence of human remains, the structures don’t appear to be tombs. Artifacts found nearby suggested to Dey a link to the nomadic Mahandzhars, who herded livestock in the region from 7000 BCE to 5000 BCE. However, when a technique known as optically stimulated luminescence was used to date a sample of mound material, it yielded an age of 2,800 years.

Like Dey, I was curious about the earthworks. Unlike him, I turned instead to Google Scholar. Surprisingly, I could find just two papers that mentioned the Mahandzhars. Wikipedia has no entry on the culture, nor does the 3,048-page 1993 edition of the Columbia Encyclopedia. Yet knowing who built the earthworks wouldn’t answer the bigger questions of why and how they did it.

Could computation provide answers? Possibly. Google Scholar also led me to a preprint by Carsten Lemmen and Kai Wirtz of the Institute of Coastal Research in Geesthacht, Germany. The two ecosystem modelers set themselves the task of determining whether a change in climate played a significant role in the spread of farming to Europe, which started in the Fertile Crescent around 10,000 BCE and ended in Scandinavia around 3500 BCE.

As you might expect, Lemmen and Wirtz used paleoclimatological methods to determine the past climate. To ascertain how a network of Neolithic European tribes might change its socioeconomic behavior in response to changes in climate, technology, and other factors, they used their Global Land Use and technological Evolution Simulator (GLUES). In the words of their paper, GLUES “mathematically resolves the dynamics of local human populations’ density and characteristic sociocultural traits in the context of a changing biogeographical environment.”

After running GLUES with the ancient European climate, Lemmen and Wirtz could reproduce the timing and distribution of the westward spread of farming as deduced from archaeological evidence. And they could do so without having to invoke climate change as a facilitating factor.

Now that Lemmen and Wirtz have validated their approach in Europe, it would be fascinating to see what they might find if they applied it to the same time period in Central Asia. When might one of the region’s tribes have reached the socioeconomic maturity to build the likes of an Ushtogay Square?

Looking instead to humanity’s future in space, GLUES and simulators like it could one day be used to predict whether a modest colony established on a distant moon would spread and thrive or shrink and wither. ⚠

Charles Day is Physics Today’s editor in chief. The views in this column are his own and not those of either Physics Today or its publisher, the American Institute of Physics.
IEEE Internet of Things Initiative

The Internet of Things Initiative is one of IEEE’s most important ongoing multidisciplinary, cross-platform efforts. The Initiative’s mission is to serve as the gathering place for the global technical community working on the IoT and to provide the platform for professionals to learn, share knowledge, and collaborate on this sweeping convergence of technologies, markets, applications, and the Internet, and together change the world.

IEEE Internet Computing

With insightful columns and peer-reviewed feature articles on all aspects of Internet computing, from programming and standards to security and networking, *IEEE Internet Computing* is the top choice for industry and academic readers.

IEEE Pervasive Computing

Designed for researchers, practitioners, and educators, *IEEE Pervasive Computing* explores the role of computing in the physical world as characterized by visions such as the Internet of Things and ubiquitous computing.

Technical Committee on Computer Communications

Focusing on software and algorithmic aspects of computing paradigms fostered by open communication networks, TCCC members exchange ideas with other researchers, developers, and students in this fast-changing field.
Big Data: Big Hype or Big Imperative?

BOTH.

Business departments know the promise of big data—and they want it! But newly minted data scientists can’t yet meet expectations, and technologies remain immature. Yes, big data is transforming the way we do—everything. But knowing that doesn’t help you decide what steps to take tomorrow to assure your company’s future.

That’s why May 24 is your real-world answer. Come meet the experts who are grappling with and solving the problems you face in mining the value of big data. You literally can’t afford to miss the all new Rock Stars of Big Data 2016.

www.computer.org/bda