NASA Contributions to IT

Swarm Technology at NASA: Building Resilient Systems

Emil Vassev, Lero—the Irish Software Engineering Research Centre
Roy Sterritt, University of Ulster
Christopher Rouff, Lockheed Martin
Mike Hinchey, Lero—the Irish Software Engineering Research Centre

Developing space systems is a complex task, driven by standards and safety requirements to ensure reliability of sophisticated hardware and software. Future NASA missions will focus on the development of swarm-based spacecraft systems comprising multiple self-organizing and autonomous spacecraft.

Deep space presents numerous hazards and harsh conditions for remote exploration missions, which must often operate autonomously without intervention from Earth. To increase the survivability of the remote missions, NASA is exploiting principles and techniques that help such systems become more resilient through self-management and automatic adaptation. By adhering to the principles of autonomic computing, contemporary spacecraft systems implement vital features for unmanned missions, such as self-configuration, self-healing, self-optimization, and self-protection.

Moreover, biologically inspired approaches target new classes of space exploration missions that use swarm intelligence and swarm cooperation to achieve extremely robust systems. Swarm-based systems comprise thousands of small spacecraft working together to explore places in deep space where a single and monolithic spacecraft is impractical.

However, developing such systems—from conceptualization to validation—is a complex multidisciplinary activity, and reliability and safety are key objectives. The systems can’t exhibit post-release faults or failures that could jeopardize the mission. They integrate complex hardware and sophisticated software and thus require careful design and thorough testing to ensure adequate reliability. Moreover, space systems have strict dependability and real-time requirements; need flexible resource reallocation; and must be limited in size, weight, and power consumption.
System engineers thus must optimize their designs for three key factors: performance, reliability, and cost. As a result, the development process, characterized by numerous iterative design and analysis activities, is lengthy and costly. Moreover, for systems requiring certification prior to operation, the control software must go through rigorous verification and validation.

**Verification-Driven Software Development**

When developing software, it’s important to choose a life-cycle process appropriate for the project at hand, because all other activities derive from that process. Space systems must meet a variety of standards and adhere to high safety requirements, so the software development process for such systems should emphasize verification, validation, certification, and testing (see Figure 1).

The process should also be technically adequate and cost effective for managing the safety requirements and design complexity and for certifying embedded system software. Most modern space software development projects use some kind of spiral-based methodology over a waterfall process, because it better emphasizes verification.

**Emphasizing Safety**

The development process should help software developers specify the required level of safety to ensure they design and implement secure systems. NASA engineers can express software safety as a set of features and procedures that ensure predictable system performance under normal and abnormal conditions. Furthermore, when developers specify software safety properly, “the likelihood of an unplanned event occurring is minimized and its consequences controlled and contained.”

NASA uses two software safety standards that define four qualitative hazard severity levels—catastrophic, critical, marginal, and negligible—and probability levels—probable, occasional, remote, and improbable. Hazard severity and probability are correlated to derive a risk index for prioritizing risk resolution (see Table 1).

**Dealing with Complexity**

Contemporary space systems are designed and implemented as multicomponent systems, where the components are self-contained and reusable, thus requiring high independency and complex synchronization. Moreover, the components of more sophisticated systems are considered as agents (multiagent systems) incorporating some degree of intelligence. Intelligent agents are key to realizing self-managing systems.

Developing space systems usually involves

- multicomponent systems, where you can’t always model intercomponent interactions and system-level impact;
- elements of AI;
- autonomous systems;
- evolving systems;
- high-risk and high-cost systems, often intended to perform missions with significant societal and scientific impacts;
- rigid design constraints;
- the potential for extremely tight design space;
- highly risk-driven systems, where you can’t always capture or understand the risks and uncertainty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard severity</th>
<th>Probable</th>
<th>Occasional</th>
<th>Remote</th>
<th>Improbable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Swarm-Computing Projects at NASA

Aside from the Autonomous Nano Technology Swarm project (discussed in the main text), NASA has several other swarm-computing projects, run at Virginia Institute of Technology and funded by the NASA Institute for Advanced Concepts. The intent is to develop low-cost planetary exploration systems that could run autonomously for years in harsh environments, such as in the sulfuric acid atmosphere of Venus or on Titan (the largest of Saturn’s moons).1

A NASA swarm-related project, “Extremely Large Swarm Array of Picosats for Microwave/RF Earth Sensing, Radiometry, and Mapping,” proposes a specialized telescope designed to characterize soil moisture content, atmospheric water content, and snow accumulation levels.2 The telescope could also monitor flooding, help provide emergency management after hurricanes, help with weather and climate prediction, and identify geological features.2

NASA is also studying swarm intelligence for communication network routing. For example, swarm-based routing algorithms can solve global optimization and resource allocation problems in NASA’s Earth orbit satellite constellation networks and the Mars networks.3
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For the development of multicomponent systems with elements of AI and autonomous behavior, NASA is investigating concepts from swarm computing.

Swarm Computing

Swarm computing is a biology-inspired approach to decentralized systems composed of relatively simple agents that can self-organize to solve complex problems together through direct or indirect interactions.6 It emphasizes a special form of swarm intelligence, a behavioral metaphor for solving distributed problems on the basis of the principles underlying the behavior of natural multiagent systems, such as ant colonies and bird flocks.

Swarm intelligence provides a new behavioral model for multiagent systems stemming from local interactions between individuals with simple rule sets and no global knowledge. Coherence and cooperation emerge from a global viewpoint without any active push for it at the individual level. Swarm computing applications include optimization algorithms, communications networks, and robotics. Applying swarm intelligence to robotic devices lets the individual members of the swarm exhibit independent intelligence.

Scientists began investigating swarm intelligence in the 1950s, when they started to study how social animals and insects communicate and coordinate themselves. French biologist Pierre-Paul Grassé, a pioneer in this field, studied structured approaches in the otherwise seemingly chaotic nest-building process of termites.9 This area of research gained popularity with research projects on multiagent systems in the 1970s. Nowadays, NASA is investigating the application of swarm computing to both spacecraft and surface-based rovers. The Autonomous Nano Technology Swarm (ANTS) concept mission is a collaboration between NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and NASA Langley Research Center. It aims to develop revolutionary mission architectures and exploit AI techniques and paradigms in future space exploration. (See the “Swarm-Computing Projects at NASA” sidebar for other related projects.)

Autonomous Nano Technology Swarm

The ANTS mission has several different concepts and goals.10 For example, the Super Miniaturized Addressable Reconfigurable Technology concept exploits the use of tetrahedrons to build small reconfigurable robots that are combined to form swarms, while the Saturn Autonomous Ring Array would use thousands of pico-class spacecraft, organized as 10 subswarms (each with specialized instruments) to perform in-situ exploration of Saturn’s rings.

Additionally, lunar-base applications aim to exploit new NASA-developed miniaturized robotic technologies as the basis of moon landers launched from remote sites. The activities would exploit innovative techniques to let rovers move...
in an amoeboid-like fashion over the moon’s uneven terrain.

Finally, the Prospecting Asteroid Mission (PAM) would launch thousands of pico-class spacecraft to explore the asteroid belt and collect data on asteroids of interest. This novel approach to asteroid belt resource exploration (see Figure 2) requires significant autonomy, minimal communication with Earth, and a set of very small explorers with few consumables. These explorers are pico-class, low-power, and low-weight spacecraft units, yet they can operate as fully autonomous and adaptable agents. Each spacecraft is equipped with a solar sail and relies primarily on power from the sun, using only tiny thrusters to navigate independently. Each spacecraft also has onboard computation, AI, and heuristics systems for control at the individual and team levels. By forming a swarm, the spacecraft can interact with each other and self-organize.

Autonomic Properties

For ANTS exploration, individual autonomy isn’t crucial, but the mission can’t succeed unless each team has the following autonomic properties.12

Self-configuration. ANTS must adapt to changes in the system. Moreover, ANTS must be fully reconfigurable to support concurrent exploration and examination of hundreds of asteroids. Reconfiguration might also be required in the event of a failure or some other anomaly.

Self-optimizing. ANTS must improve their performance on the fly. Leaders can use the gained experience to self-optimize, thus improving their ability to identify asteroids. Messengers strive to find the best position to improve the communication among the swarm units. Workers also self-optimize through learning and experience.

Self-healing. ANTS must recover from errors or damage, including those caused by damage due to either a solar storm or collision with an asteroid or another spacecraft.

Self-protecting. ANTS must anticipate and cure intrusions. For example, ANTS must protect itself from solar storms, where charged particles can degrade sensors and electronic components and destroy solar sails.

Smart Self-Healing in ANTS

Self-healing in ANTS is about finding the right strategy for repairing faulty spacecraft units without decreasing the overall swarm performance or affecting mission goals. A smart self-healing strategy13 distributes a repair plan among the spacecraft units participating in the self-healing process.

Repairing a spacecraft unit is usually a self-task that the faulty unit performs, but it could also involve other spacecraft units. In such a case, a ruler or an idle worker must drive the self-healing process and assign the self-healing actions to the participating spacecraft units. A smart self-healing strategy might decide that it’s not worth repairing a faulty unit—it might be better to destroy or transform it.14–16

For example, a faulty worker could use self-healing actions to transform itself into a ruler or messenger, which is possible because the ANTS spacecraft units are built from reusable components.
Or, when such transformations aren’t possible, the worker could transform itself into a stand-by “shield.”\textsuperscript{15,16} A shield unit sails nearby and strives to protect the replacement worker from different hazards. For example, a shield unit could take the impact of an asteroid about to hit the replacement worker.

A smart self-healing unit will make this sacrifice to increase the swarm’s overall performance and survivability by minimizing the time and resources needed to recover from a probable impact involving an active worker, ruler, or messenger. When a unit is damaged such that it can no longer move, it eventually will self-destruct (not necessarily physically but possibly through removal from the swarm), thus avoiding the risk of collision with another ANTS unit.\textsuperscript{14}

**Awareness and Resilience**

The concept of awareness plays a crucial role in designing resilient spacecraft systems. Conceptually, awareness is a product of knowledge and monitoring. A spacecraft unit maintains knowledge to track changes in the unit itself and, to some extent, changes in the swarm and the surrounding space environment. Thus, an ANTS mission must also maintain special situational knowledge, eventually expressed as patterns intended to cover special situations or relevant changes in the space environment, swarm system, or individual spacecraft. For example, a situation could be a fault occurrence detected as a fault—that is, an unobservable or unexpected event.

A common ANTS awareness model comprises a special awareness control loop that reveals four distinct functions:

- monitoring,
- recognition,
- assessment, and
- learning.

The mission should monitor the individual spacecraft units and space environment using sensors and communication links to collect, aggregate, filter, manage, and report on internal and external information. It should use knowledge to recognize and track changes in individual spacecraft units, the entire swarm (ANTS), or the environment (context). Its assessments determine points of interest, generate hypotheses about situations involving these points, and recognize situational patterns. Finally, it should be able to learn by generating new situational patterns and maintaining a history of property changes. These four functions—inspired by the IBM Monitor, Analyze, Plan, and Execute control loop\textsuperscript{1} and by self- and environmental-awareness (later referred to as self-situation) conceptual control loops\textsuperscript{17}—help make ANTS aware of internal and external changes and situations.

Note that ANTS should rely on both proactive and reactive monitoring.\textsuperscript{7} Reactive monitoring happens after an event (external or internal) has occurred; proactive monitoring relies analyzing data collected via sensors and input communication links to raise alerts before the event happens. In addition to the specific control and notification messages, to facilitate proactive monitoring, the individual spacecraft units regularly exchange pulse-beat messages,\textsuperscript{18} which carry useful information (such as the sender’s current health status).\textsuperscript{19}

**Formal Methods for ANTS Development**

Software reliability is crucial to successful software development. ANSI defines software reliability as “the probability of failure-free software operation for a specified period of time in a specified environment.”\textsuperscript{20} Practice has shown that traditional development methods can’t guarantee software reliability and prevent software failures. Moreover, software developed using formal methods tends to be more reliable.

Formal methods help ensure the quality of space systems, in which system failures can easily cause safety hazards. Modern formal methods provide a computer system development approach that provides both a formal notation and suitable mature tool support.\textsuperscript{5} The formal notation specifies the requirements or models a system design in a mathematical logic, while the tool support helps demonstrate that the implemented system meets its specification.

Even if a full proof is hard to achieve in practice because of engineering and cost limitations, it makes software and hardware systems more reliable. By using formal methods appropriately
within the software development process, space system developers can reduce overall development costs. In fact, the costs of formal methods tend to be high early in the system life cycle but lower in the coding, testing, and maintenance stages, where error correction is far more expensive.

Developers have successfully used formal approaches on algorithms for resilient behavior in ANTS based on context awareness and self-awareness. Considering the challenge of self-management, the best approach to developing reliable and robust ANTS systems is to use formal methods dedicated to autonomic computing, such as Autonomic System Specification Language or Requirements-to-Design-to-Code. We have successfully used ASSL to specify autonomic features and generate prototype models for ANTS, such as self-configuring, self-healing, self-scheduling, and emergent self-adapting models.

Current verification methods aren’t sufficient to verify adaptive systems such as ANTS, because they don’t scale to support the huge state space of such systems. This obstacle is partially overcome through the automatic code generation that ASSL enables. This helps developers easily generate multiple prototypes of the system and perform thorough testing of the autonomic features.

Robotic technology such as swarm robotics missions, automatic probes, and unmanned observatories allow for space exploration without risking human lives. Swarm technologies hold promise for exploration and scientific missions that require capabilities unavailable to missions designed around single spacecraft. Although swarm autonomy is clearly essential for missions where human control isn’t feasible, individual autonomy is essential for survival of individual spacecraft as well as the entire swarm in hostile space environments.

The derivative benefits of swarm computing require advances in miniaturization and nanotechnology. Moreover, the need for more efficient on-board power generation and storage motivates research in solar energy and battery technology, and the need for energy-efficient propulsion motivates research on solar sails and other technologies, such as electric-field propulsion.

Groundbreaking advances in swarm intelligence research at NASA and other organizations (both civilian and military) show great possibilities for applying swarm computing beyond just space exploration. In the near future, swarms of nanobots operating in the human body should be able to discover and kill cancer tumors. Swarms of tiny and intelligent submarines will explore the ocean floor and clean up the marine bays. Swarms of military robots will perform military operations, such as a convergent attack on targets from multiple axes.
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